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#### Abstract

This paper describes the behavior of the rotational velocity in metal-poor stars ( $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \leqslant-0.5$ dex) in different evolutionary stages, based on $v \sin i$ values from the literature. Our sample is comprised of stars in the field and some Galactic globular clusters, including stars on the main sequence, the red giant branch (RGB), and the horizontal branch (HB). The metal-poor stars are, mainly, slow rotators, and their $v \sin i$ distribution along the HR diagram is quite homogeneous. Nevertheless, a few moderate to high values of $v \sin i$ are found in stars located on the main sequence and the HB. We show that the overall distribution of $v \sin i$ values is basically independent of metallicity for the stars in our sample. In particular, the fast-rotating main sequence stars in our sample present rotation rates similar to their metal-rich counterparts, suggesting that some of them may actually be fairly young, in spite of their low metallicity, or else that at least some of them would be better classified as blue straggler stars. We do not find significant evidence of evolution in $v \sin i$ values as a function of position on the RGB; in particular, we do not confirm previous suggestions that stars close to the RGB tip rotate faster than their less-evolved counterparts. While the presence of fast rotators among moderately cool blue HB stars has been suggested to be due to angular momentum transport from a stellar core that has retained significant angular momentum during its prior evolution, we find that any such transport mechanisms most likely operate very fast as the star arrives on the zero-age HB (ZAHB), since we do not find a link between evolution off the ZAHB and $v \sin i$ values. We present an extensive tabulation of all quantities discussed in this paper, including rotation velocities, temperatures, gravities, and metallicities $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$, as well as broadband magnitudes and colors.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rotation has long been an important factor affecting stellar evolution that has been largely ignored, especially when dealing with unresolved stellar populations, since the interplay between rotation and evolution is very difficult to accurately establish. Still, the knowledge of stellar angular momentum evolution and its influence on a star's evolutionary history is clearly crucial for properly understanding the evolution of stars. In spite of its importance in stellar astrophysics, the influence of rotation upon stellar evolution has not been properly established, and relatively few studies are dedicated to this subject. Recently, the new space telescopes dedicated to asteroseismology and the search for extrasolar planets, such as CoRoT and Kepler, have opened the possibility of determining rotation periods for large samples of stars in solar neighborhood covering all main evolutionary stages. These observations and the information that we can derive therefrom can help us to study the angular momentum evolution and their effects on the stellar life-and, as a main result, the Sun's evolution.

On the other hand, during the past two decades several studies have been aimed at describing rotation in metal-poor stars (e.g., Peterson 1983; Peterson 1985a, 1985b; Peterson et al. 1995; Cohen \& McCarthy 1997; Behr et al. 2000a, 2000b; Kinman et al. 2000; Behr 2003a, 2003b; Carney et al. 2003, Recio-Blanco et al. 2002, 2004; De Medeiros et al. 2006; Carney et al. 2008). While these studies have led to

[^0]a large list of high-precision $v \sin i$ measurements for metalpoor stars, a comprehensive study of the rotational behavior of metal-poor stars based on these data has not been performed yet. Since metal-poor stars are mainly members of the oldest stellar populations, such a data set may help to shed light on the evolution of the angular momentum over a large range of evolutionary stages for low-mass stars.

The distributions of $v \sin i$ in different evolutionary stages, from the main sequence (MS) to the red giant branch (RGB), as derived in these previous studies, show that metal-poor stars present, essentially, low $v \sin i$ values. Nevertheless, the stars in the horizontal branch (HB) do not show the same behavior and an enhanced rotation in these core helium-burning stars has been reported in several Galactic globular clusters (GCs; e.g., Peterson et al. 1995; Cohen \& McCarthy 1997; Behr et al. 2000a, 2000b; Recio-Blanco et al. 2002, 2004). The stars in these dense environments present a broad range of $v \sin i$ values, from several $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ to tens of $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. This particular distribution is not explained as a natural evolution of the stellar angular momentum, since their ancestors, the RGB stars, present lower $v \sin i$ values. Field HB stars seem to have a similar distribution of $v \sin i$ values (Kinman et al. 2000; Behr 2003b; Carney et al. 2003), suggesting that the environment does not play a strong role in defining the observed spread in $v \sin i$. Peterson et al. (1996) reported that the RR Lyrae stars, the variable stars located on the HB, present an upper limit of $v \sin i$ $\sim 10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, indicating that these stars are also slow rotators and do not show the spread in $v \sin i$ found among other HB stars.

Soker (1998) and Soker \& Harpaz (2000) have suggested that the $v \sin i$ distribution along the HB may bear the direct imprint

Table 1
Data Characteristics and References

| Author | \# stars | Method used | Resolution | $\langle\text { Error }\rangle_{v \sin i}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peterson (1985a) | 9 | Profile fitting | 23,000 | $4.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Peterson et al. (1995) | 63 | O I triplet | 20,000 | $3.2-6.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Cohen \& McCarthy (1997) | 5 | Profile fitting | 36,000 | $3.8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Kinman et al. (2000) | 28 | Gaussian fitting | 15,000 | $\ldots$ |
|  |  |  | 30,000 | $\ldots$ |
|  |  |  | 40,000 | $\ldots$ |
| Recio-Blanco et al. (2002) | 63 | Cross-correlation | 40,000 | $5.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Behr (2003a) | 74 | Profile fitting | 36,000 | $3.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
|  |  |  | 45,000 |  |
| Behr (2003b) | 90 | Profile fitting | 45,000 | $3.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
|  |  |  | 60,000 |  |
| Carney et al. (2003) | 80 | Profile fitting | 35,000 | $0.5-2.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| De Medeiros et al. (2006) | 99 | Profile fitting | 48,000 | $2.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
|  |  |  | 50,000 |  |
| Carney et al. (2008) | 19 | Fourier decomposition | 120,000 | $1.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |

of angular momentum transfer (from small-mass companions) during their previous RGB evolution. In particular, they have suggested that the high $v \sin i$ values found in several HB stars may be due to angular momentum transfer from a stellar or planetary companion, whose engulfment may have led to a spin-up of the primary star when the latter's external layers were expanded during the RGB phase. The added centrifugal acceleration to the RGB star's outermost layers could accordingly lead to an increase in the amount of mass lost by the RGB stars, thus leading to the formation of HB stars of lower mass, i.e., blue HB stars. Recently, Silvotti et al. (2007) found a giant planet orbiting V391 Pegasi, an extreme (blue) HB star, which lends some support to the planet engulfment scenario. However, no planets have hitherto been found in GCs, despite the fact that several surveys have been dedicated to identify planetary systems in GCs (Gilliland et al. 2000; Weldrake et al. 2005). Otherwise, the distributions of metallicity for stars with planets show a bias for metal-poor stars, due to the fact that the majority of detected planets have as host metal-rich stars (Santos et al. 2003; Santos et al. 2004; Fischer \& Valenti 2005). Other studies have argued that the $v \sin i$ values found in HB stars may be a consequence of complex angular momentum transfer mechanisms operating between the external layers and the degenerate cores of the RGB stars (e.g., Pinsonneault et al. 1991; Sills \& Pinsonneault 2000).

Mengel \& Gross (1976) showed that a rapidly spinning RGB core may lead to a delay in the onset of the He flash, and thus to an extension of the RGB phase toward higher luminosities and lower temperatures-which could thus also lead to an increased mass loss and hence to bluer HB stars (see also Sweigart 1997a, 1997b). Stellar rotation has thus been pointed out as a possible contributor to the so-called second parameter phenomenonnamely, the presence of GCs with similar metallicities but different color distributions along the HB (see Catelan 2009, for a recent review).

The "Grundahl jump" discontinuity (Grundahl et al. 1999) characterized by overluminous stars in blue passbands, most notably in Strömgren $u$ and Johnson $U$, is also reflected upon the values of $v \sin i$ found in blue HB stars (Recio-Blanco et al. 2002). The overluminosity in $u$ shown by Grundahl et al. was due to the fact that HB stars with $T_{\text {eff }}>11,500 \mathrm{~K}$ are strongly affected by radiative levitation and gravitational settling. The ensuing stellar winds (Vink \& Cassisi 2002) and strong chemical gradients (Sills \& Pinsonneault 2000) could help spin down these stars. Interestingly, Recio-Blanco et al. (2002) suggested
that there is no evidence of a link between evolution away from the zero age $\mathrm{HB}(\mathrm{ZAHB})$ and the $v \sin i$ values in HB stars.

This study aims at carrying out an analysis of the evolutionary behavior of rotation velocity in metal-poor stars, in the field and Galactic GCs alike, based on a thorough compilation of $v \sin i$ measurements from the literature. The stars in our sample are widely distributed across the HR diagram, from the main sequence to later evolutionary stages, such as the RGB and the HB. This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, the main characteristics of the working sample are described. The main results are presented in Section 3. Our conclusions are drawn in Section 4. All data used in this work are presented in Tables 3 and 4.

## 2. THE WORKING SAMPLE

For the purpose of this work, we have compiled the $v \sin i$ values available in the literature for stars with $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \leqslant-0.5$ dex. The stars are located in the field and some Galactic GCs. The sample of field stars was obtained from Kinman et al. (2000), Behr (2003b), Carney et al. (2003, 2008), and De Medeiros et al. (2006). These stars are located in the MS, RGB, and HB phases. On the other hand, the sample of stars in GCs is restricted to HB stars. The data were obtained from Peterson (1985a), Peterson et al. (1995), Cohen \& McCarthy (1997), Behr (2003a), and Recio-Blanco et al. (2004). Several blue HB stars in metal-poor GCs present $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]>-0.5$ as a consequence of radiative levitation; we will discuss this further in Section 3.3. Some relevant properties of the selected stellar sample are given in Table 1.

Nine HB stars considered in Peterson (1985a) belong to the GC M 4 (NGC 6121), which was observed using the echelle spectrograph with a spectral resolution $R \sim 23,000$ at the Multiple Mirror Telescope Observatory. The $v \sin i$ measurements were carried out using some Mg lines and a Fe II line, with typical errors in the $v \sin i$ values of $4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

The $v \sin i$ values listed by Peterson et al. (1995) were derived using the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ line triplet at $7771-7775 \AA$. Their stellar sample contains HB stars in three GCs: M 3 (NGC 5272), M 13 (NGC 6205), and NGC 288. The spectra were obtained at medium resolution ( $R \sim 20,000$ ) and were collected with the fiberfed system "Nessie" with an echelle spectrograph at the 4 m telescope at Kitt Peak Observatory/NOAO. The typical errors in the $v \sin i$ measurements are $5.0,3.2$, and $6.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the stars in M 3, M 13, and NGC 288, respectively.

Five blue HB stars in M 92 (NGC 6341) were analyzed by Cohen \& McCarthy (1997). They used the HIRES spectrograph on the Keck I telescope atop Mauna Kea to obtain highresolution spectra for these stars ( $R \sim 36,000$ ). Two methods were used to derive $v \sin i$. The first was a comparison of Gaussian line fits for isolated and unblended stars with similar profile measurements for the arc emission lines, varying the strength of the rotational broadening. The second one was a comparison between the profiles of strong absorption lines of Fe and Ti with the profile of a single $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Ar}$ arc emission line. We decided to use only the data based on the latter method, since it appears to be more reliable for fast rotating stars. The average error in the $v \sin i$ measurements is $3.8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

The $v \sin i$ values of blue HB stars measured by Kinman et al. (2000) were obtained from medium- $(R \sim 15,000)$ and high-resolution spectra ( $R \sim 30,000$ and $R \sim 40,000$ ), collected at the Kitt Peak 0.9 m coudé feed spectrograph and the CAT+CES ( 1.4 m Coudé Auxiliary Telescope + Coudé Echelle Spectograph) combination at La Silla, Chile. In this work the rotational velocities were obtained using the FWHM of the $\mathrm{Mg}_{\text {II }}$ line ( $\lambda 4481$ ) and the fit of the profile $\mathrm{Mg}_{\text {II }}$ lines of the observed spectra with the synthetic one. When both measurements are available for a star, we obtained the $v \sin i$ from the average of both values. These data may have important uncertainties, but unfortunately the associated measurement errors are not available.

The Recio-Blanco et al. $(2002,2004)$ data refer to four metalpoor Galactic GCs, namely NGC 2808, M 15 (NGC 7078), M 79 (NGC 1904), and M 80 (NGC 6093). The stellar spectra were obtained with high resolution ( $R \sim 40,000$ ) using UVES at the Kueyen-VLT. The values of $v \sin i$ for each star were obtained using the procedure described in Tonry \& Davis (1979) and Melo et al. (2001). The typical error in the computed $v \sin i$ is around $5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Most of the stars contained in this work do not have associated $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ measurements, but we were able to incorporate $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ values for some of the stars in M 79 based on the Fabbian et al. (2005) study.

The stars in Behr (2003a) were observed with the HIRESKeck spectrograph using spectral resolutions $R \sim 45,000$ and $R \sim 36,000$. To compute $v \sin i$, he used the minimum value of $\chi^{2}$ for the fit between the metal absorption lines and Kurucz synthetic spectra. The stars belong to six Galactic GCs: NGC 288, M 3, M 13, M 15, M 68 (NGC 4590), and M 92. The typical error in $v \sin i$ is $3.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Behr's (2003b) sample, in turn, contains field stars only. The stars were observed at high resolution ( $R \sim 60,000$ and $R \sim 45,000$ ) using the Cassegrain echelle spectrograph on the McDonald Observatory 2.1 m Otto Struve Telescope and the HIRES-Keck spectrograph. The $v \sin i$ values were calculated using the same method as in Behr (2003a). The maximum error in the determination of $v \sin i$ is $3.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Carney et al. (2003) used field RGB and red HB stars, which were observed with the 1.5 m Wyeth reflector at the Oak Ridge Observatory in Harvard, Massachusetts. They also used the 1.5 m Tillinghats reflector and the Multiple Mirror Telescope atop Mount Hopkins, in Arizona. The $v \sin i$ values were derived from the comparison of observed rotational broading with synthetic spectra, and the typical error in $v \sin i$ measurements ranges from 0.5 to $2.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

The working sample in De Medeiros et al. (2006) comprises metal-poor field stars in three evolutionary stages, from the MS to the HB. The spectra were observed with high resolution ( $R \sim$ 48,000 and $R \sim 50,000$ ) at the FEROS spectrometer mounted

Table 2
Chemical and Photometrical Parameters for Galactic GCs

| Cluster | $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ | $M_{V}$ | $(m-M)_{V}$ | $E(B-V)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 288 | -1.24 | -6.74 | 14.83 | 0.03 |
| NGC 2808 | -1.15 | -9.39 | 15.59 | 0.22 |
| M 3 (NGC 5272) | -1.57 | -8.93 | 15.12 | 0.01 |
| M 4 (NGC 6121) | -1.20 | -7.20 | 12.83 | 0.36 |
| M 13 (NGC 6205) | -1.54 | -8.70 | 14.48 | 0.02 |
| M 15 (NGC 7078) | -2.26 | -9.17 | 15.37 | 0.10 |
| M 68 (NGC 4590) | -2.02 | -7.35 | 15.19 | 0.05 |
| M 79 (NGC 1904) | -1.57 | -7.86 | 15.59 | 0.01 |
| M 80 (NGC 6093) | -1.75 | -8.23 | 15.56 | 0.18 |
| M 92 (NGC 6341) | -2.28 | -8.20 | 14.64 | 0.02 |

on the ESO 1.5 m telescope, together with the CORALIE spectrometer mounted on the Euler Swiss 1.2 m telescope, both at La Silla, Chile. The $v \sin i$ values of the stellar sample were calculated by fitting the observed spectra with a synthetic one. They used metallic lines to compute $v \sin i$. Typical error on the $v \sin i$ measurements for their working sample is around $2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

The data compiled by Carney et al. (2008) correspond to 12 metal-poor field RGB stars and seven metal-poor field red HB stars, which were observed using the Gecko spectrograph at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) with a typical $R \sim 120,000$. In this work, the authors identified the $v \sin i$ and the macroturbulence component in the Doppler broadening in the line profiles using a Fourier analysis. The typical error in $v \sin i$ measurements is $\sim 1.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Some HB stars in GCs do not have measurements of their surface gravities and iron abundances. For some stars in the GCs M 3, M 15, and NGC 288, we compiled the surface gravities from Crocker et al. (1988) and Moehler et al. (1995). In order to estimate the surface gravities for stars without any measurements in M 3, M 13, M 15, M 79, M 80, M 92, NGC 288, and NGC 2808, we used empirical (though approximate) relations between $T_{\text {eff }}$ and $\log (g)$. More specifically, for the GCs M 3, M 13, M 79, and M 80, we used Equation (2) by Fabbian et al. (2005), whereas for the GCs NGC 288 and NGC 2808 we used Equation (1) of Pace et al. (2006). For M 15, we used the values of $\log (g)$ found in Behr (2003a) to interpolate (or extrapolate) the surface gravities for stars in M 15 . Otherwise, for those stars without $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ measurements, we assumed that the amount of heavy elements is equal to the characteristic abundances in the GC, which are presented in Table 2 (Harris 1996). Obviously, we can only assume this for stars with $T_{\text {eff }} \leqslant 11,500 \mathrm{~K}$.

In Tables 3 and 4, we summarize the data for the field and GC stars analyzed in this paper, respectively. As we can see, several stars were analyzed in more than one study. For these stars, we averaged the values of their physical and chemical parameters, as well as their measured rotational velocities, and we used these averaged values in our analysis. In Table 3, we also present some photometrical quantities for our field stars. The $V$ magnitude and ( $B-V$ ) color were compiled from SIMBAD, ${ }^{7}$ and the $(V-I)$ color comes from the Hipparcos catalog (Perryman \& ESA 1997 and references therein). References to the $v \sin i$ measurement sources are also included in this table. In Table 4 we present the apparent magnitude $V$ and the color index $(B-V)$. For the stars in M 79, the $V$ values are based on measurements using the $y$

[^1]Table 3
Data Characteristics of Field Metal-poor Stars

| ID | $T_{\text {eff }}$ | $\log (g)$ | $v \sin i$ | [Fe/H] | V | $(B-V)$ | $(V-I)$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD20 | 5350 | 2.50 | 5.9 | -1.66 | 9.07 | 0.54 | 0.75 | 3 |
| HD97 | 5270 | 2.83 | 4.0 | -1.42 | 9.40 | 0.64 | 0.82 | 2 |
| ... | 4910 | 2.60 | 4.0 | -1.38 | 9.40 | 0.64 | 0.82 | 3 |
|  | 4953 | 3.10 | 4.2 | -1.40 | 9.40 | 0.64 | 0.82 | 4 |
| HD2857 | 7550 | 3.00 | 29.0 | -1.70 | 9.95 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 1 |
|  | 8002 | 3.38 | 25.1 | -1.67 | 9.95 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 2 |
| HD2880 | 4810 | 4.01 | 6.5 | -0.83 | 8.52 | 0.84 | 0.88 | 2 |
| HD3008 | 4140 | 1.00 | 9.2 | -1.43 | 9.70 | 1.14 | 1.23 | 3 |
| ... | 4140 | 1.00 | 4.4 | -1.43 | 9.70 | 1.14 | 1.23 | 5 |
| HD3179 | 5280 | 2.60 | 5.2 | -0.92 | 9.77 | 0.58 | 0.78 | 3 |
| HD4850 | 8450 | 3.20 | 14.0 | -1.18 | 9.64 | 0.03 | . . | 1 |
| HD5426 | 4990 | 2.20 | 6.3 | -2.33 | 9.63 | 0.63 | 0.78 | 3 |
|  | 5114 | 2.80 | 5.2 | -2.10 | 9.63 | 0.63 | 0.78 | 4 |
| HD6229 | 5200 | 1.84 | 5.7 | -1.35 | 8.60 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 2 |
| HD6268 | 4800 | 0.80 | 7.5 | $-2.50$ | 8.10 | 0.79 | 0.84 | 4 |
| HD6461 | 5109 | 1.86 | 6.2 | $-1.30$ | 7.65 | 0.75 | 0.82 | 2 |
| HD6755 | 5080 | 2.70 | 3.5 | -1.72 | 7.73 | 0.67 | 0.76 | 3 |
| HD7374 | 13327 | 3.84 | 20.8 | -0.80 | 5.96 | -0.08 | -0.06 | 2 |
| HD8376 | 8150 | 3.30 | 15.0 | -2.82 | 9.59 | 0.13 | ... | 1 |
| ... | 7606 | 2.87 | 0.0 | -3.06 | 9.59 | 0.13 | $\ldots$ | 2 |
| HD9051 | 4840 | 2.30 | 0.5 | $-1.50$ | 8.92 | 0.76 | 0.84 | 3 |
| HD13359 | 5150 | 2.80 | 8.3 | -1.66 | 9.67 | 0.69 | 0.76 | 3 |
| HD13780 | 7950 | 3.10 | 14.0 | -1.53 | 9.81 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 1 |
| ... | 7930 | 3.10 | 10.0 | -1.50 | 9.81 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 4 |
| HD14829 | 8900 | 3.20 | 7.0 | -2.39 | 10.22 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 1 |
|  | 9086 | 3.31 | 14.3 | -2.01 | 10.22 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 2 |
| HD16456 | 7700 | 2.80 | 15.0 | $-1.50$ | 9.04 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 4 |
| HD19445 | 5911 | 4.30 | 10.0 | -1.60 | 8.05 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 4 |
| HD21581 | 4860 | 2.30 | 5.7 | -1.65 | 8.72 | 0.75 | 0.82 | 3 |
|  | 4825 | 2.00 | 5.0 | -1.70 | 8.72 | 0.75 | 0.82 | 4 |
| HD22879 | 5808 | 4.20 | 4.7 | -0.90 | 6.74 | 0.49 | 0.66 | 4 |
| HD23798 | 4310 | 1.00 | 5.0 | -1.90 | 8.32 | 1.03 | 1.01 | 3 |
| ... | 4310 | 1.00 | 0.0 | -1.90 | 8.32 | 1.03 | 1.01 | 5 |
|  | 4566 | 0.80 | 6.2 | -2.10 | ... | ... |  | 4 |
| HD24289 | 5700 | 3.50 | 6.3 | -2.20 | 9.96 | 0.52 | 0.60 | 4 |
| HD24341 | 5348 | 3.79 | 3.5 | -0.90 | 7.86 | 0.66 | 0.74 | 2 |
| HD25532 | 5553 | 2.11 | 7.7 | -1.41 | 8.24 | 0.61 | 0.72 | 2 |
|  | 5320 | 2.54 | 4.8 | -1.33 | 8.24 | 0.61 | 0.72 | 5 |
| HD25704 | 5830 | 4.10 | 4.8 | -1.10 | 8.10 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 4 |
| HD26297 | 4500 | 1.20 | 5.0 | -1.70 | 7.47 | 1.08 | 1.05 | 4 |
| HD27295 | 11956 | 3.92 | 4.0 | -0.95 | 5.49 | -0.07 | -0.05 | 2 |
| HD27928 | 4990 | 2.30 | 1.7 | -2.25 | 9.53 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 3 |
| ... | 5206 | 2.90 | 4.0 | -2.00 | 9.53 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 4 |
| HD29574 | 4310 | 0.60 | 5.5 | -1.90 | 8.38 | 1.32 | 1.26 | 4 |
|  | 3960 | 0.57 | 3.7 | -2.11 | 8.38 | 1.32 | 1.26 | 5 |
| HD31943 | 7690 | 3.20 | 6.0 | -1.00 | 8.27 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 4 |
| HD34328 | 5928 | 4.30 | 5.5 | -1.70 | 9.46 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 4 |
| HD36702 | 4180 | 0.80 | 6.5 | -1.86 | 8.38 | 1.15 | 1.11 | 3 |
| . | 4485 | 0.80 | 5.6 | -2.00 | 8.38 | 1.15 | 1.11 | 4 |
| HD44007 | 4850 | 2.00 | 5.0 | -1.70 | 8.06 | 0.79 | 0.85 | 4 |
| HD45282 | 5230 | 2.90 | 5.0 | $-1.80$ | 8.02 | 0.65 | 0.76 | 3 |
| . | 5477 | 3.30 | 3.0 | -1.40 | 8.02 | 0.65 | 0.76 | 4 |
| HD46341 | 5683 | 4.20 | 4.0 | $-0.80$ | 8.60 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 4 |
| HD51754 | 5830 | 4.30 | 3.5 | $-0.50$ | 9.03 | 0.57 | 0.65 | 4 |
| HD51929 | 5886 | 3.50 | 3.0 | $-0.50$ | 7.43 | 0.59 | 0.66 | 4 |
| HD56274 | 5700 | 4.30 | 5.0 | -0.60 | 7.79 | 0.57 | 0.68 | 4 |
| HD60778 | 8050 | 3.10 | 13.0 | -1.34 | 9.12 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 1 |
| . | 8020 | 3.13 | 10.0 | -1.48 | 9.12 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 2 |
| HD63077 | 5715 | 4.10 | 5.0 | $-1.00$ | 5.37 | 0.58 | 0.70 | 4 |
| HD63598 | 5852 | 4.10 | 4.5 | -0.70 | 7.93 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 4 |
| HD63791 | 4954 | 2.17 | 4.4 | $-1.72$ | 7.92 | 0.86 | 0.89 | 2 |
| ... | 4660 | 1.80 | 3.7 | -1.64 | 7.92 | 0.86 | 0.89 | 3 |
| HD64488 | 8826 | 3.63 | 150.6 | -0.77 | 7.14 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 2 |
| HD74721 | 8900 | 3.30 | 6.0 | -1.48 | 8.71 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 1 |
| ... | 8677 | 3.38 | 2.6 | -1.41 | 8.71 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 2 |
| $\ldots$ | 8900 | 3.30 | 1.0 | -1.40 | 8.71 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 4 |

Table 3
(Continued)

| ID | $T_{\text {eff }}$ | $\log (g)$ | $v \sin i$ | [Fe/H] | V | $(B-V)$ | $(V-I)$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD76932 | 5880 | 4.00 | 5.0 | -1.00 | 5.86 | 0.53 | 0.59 | 4 |
| HD78913 | 8500 | 3.25 | 14.0 | -1.43 | 9.29 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 1 |
|  | 8515 | 3.20 | 10.0 | -1.50 | 9.29 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 4 |
| HD79452 | 5042 | 2.14 | 6.1 | -0.91 | 5.99 | 0.81 | 0.86 | 2 |
| HD82590 | 6094 | 2.04 | 9.7 | -1.50 | 9.42 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 2 |
|  | 5960 | 2.70 | 7.7 | -1.85 | 9.42 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 5 |
| HD83212 | 4430 | 1.40 | 7.3 | -1.45 | 8.34 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 3 |
| ... | 4439 | 1.40 | 6.0 | -1.40 | 8.34 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 4 |
| HD83220 | 6546 | 4.20 | 8.0 | -0.70 | 8.56 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 4 |
| HD84903 | 4700 | 3.30 | 6.0 | -1.40 | 8.04 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 4 |
| HD84937 | 6409 | 3.90 | 5.2 | -2.20 | 8.28 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 4 |
| HD85773 | 4450 | 1.10 | 7.0 | -2.00 | 9.43 | 1.08 | 1.14 | 4 |
| HD86986 | 7950 | 3.20 | 9.5 | -1.66 | 8.01 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 1 |
| . . . | 7775 | 3.05 | 9.2 | -1.85 | 8.01 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 2 |
| ... | 7950 | 3.20 | 13.0 | -1.80 | 8.01 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 4 |
| HD87047 | 7850 | 3.10 | 6.0 | -2.43 | 9.72 | 0.14 | ... | 1 |
| ... | 7682 | 2.95 | 9.2 | -2.36 | 9.72 | 0.14 | $\ldots$ | 2 |
| HD87112 | 9750 | 3.50 | 6.5 | -1.56 | 9.71 | -0.02 | ... | 1 |
|  | 9557 | 3.46 | 7.2 | -1.65 | 9.71 | -0.02 |  | 2 |
| HD93329 | 8250 | 3.10 | 11.0 | -1.30 | 8.76 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 1 |
| ... | 8042 | 3.09 | 9.6 | -1.49 | 8.76 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 2 |
| HD93529 | 4840 | 2.40 | 2.7 | -1.24 | 9.31 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 3 |
|  | 4840 | 2.40 | 8.0 | -1.20 | 9.31 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 4 |
| HD97560 | 5422 | 2.39 | 7.4 | -1.06 | 7.92 | 0.66 | 0.72 | 2 |
| HD97916 | 6016 | 4.00 | 10.2 | -1.10 | 9.17 | 0.38 | 0.49 | 4 |
| HD99383 | 6143 | 4.20 | 4.0 | $-1.50$ | 9.08 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 4 |
| HD101063 | 5163 | 3.40 | 5.0 | -1.10 | 9.45 | 0.76 | 0.80 | 4 |
| HD103036 | 4375 | 0.80 | 8.0 | -1.70 | 8.18 | 1.29 | 1.23 | 4 |
| HD103376 | 13554 | 3.96 | 189.9 | -0.71 | 10.17 | -0.14 | -0.11 | 2 |
| HD103545 | 4690 | 1.70 | 5.0 | -2.42 | 9.20 | 0.71 | 0.86 | 3 |
| ... | 4725 | 1.70 | 5.7 | -2.10 | 9.20 | 0.71 | 0.86 | 4 |
| HD104893 | 4500 | 1.10 | 6.0 | -2.20 | 9.25 | 1.20 | 1.18 | 4 |
| HD105262 | 8855 | 1.82 | 6.1 | -1.61 | 7.09 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 2 |
| HD105546 | 5299 | 2.20 | 5.2 | -1.67 | 8.61 | 0.79 | 0.72 | 2 |
| HD106304 | 9750 | 3.50 | 10.0 | -1.34 | 9.07 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 1 |
| ... | 9747 | 3.50 | 5.0 | $-1.50$ | 9.07 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 4 |
| HD106373 | 6160 | 2.70 | 10.8 | -2.48 | 8.91 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 5 |
| HD107752 | 4750 | 1.70 | 4.6 | -2.64 | 10.07 | 0.72 | 0.84 | 3 |
| HD108317 | 5230 | 2.40 | 5.1 | -2.48 | 8.03 | 0.60 | 0.68 | 3 |
| HD108577 | 5192 | 1.50 | 5.8 | -2.33 | 9.55 | 0.63 | 0.76 | 2 |
|  | 5040 | 1.90 | 6.9 | -2.50 | 9.55 | 0.63 | 0.76 | 3 |
| HD109995 | 8500 | 3.10 | 26.0 | -1.70 | 7.60 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 1 |
| ... | 8382 | 3.25 | 22.9 | -1.76 | 7.60 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 2 |
| HD110184 | 4366 | 0.50 | 4.0 | -2.40 | 8.31 | 1.15 | 1.11 | 4 |
| HD110281 | 3850 | 0.20 | 5.5 | -1.75 | 9.39 | 1.71 | 1.60 | 5 |
| HD110679 | 5001 | 1.91 | 5.1 | -1.08 | 9.16 | 0.86 | ... | 2 |
| HD110885 | 5330 | 2.50 | 8.2 | -1.59 | 9.14 | 0.60 | 0.73 | 3 |
| HD110930 | 4934 | 2.31 | 4.7 | -0.94 | 9.71 | 0.90 | $\ldots$ | 2 |
| HD111721 | 4860 | 2.50 | 3.0 | -1.42 | 7.97 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 3 |
| ... | 4825 | 2.20 | 5.0 | $-1.50$ | 7.97 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 4 |
| HD111777 | 5693 | 4.40 | 5.0 | -0.70 | 8.46 | 0.61 | 0.68 | 4 |
| HD111980 | 6032 | 3.70 | 4.0 | -0.70 | 8.38 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 4 |
| HD112030 | 4699 | 1.81 | 4.3 | -1.12 | 8.70 | 0.90 | . | 2 |
| HD113083 | 5762 | 4.00 | 4.5 | -0.90 | 8.05 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 4 |
| HD115444 | 4736 | 1.62 | 4.6 | -3.18 | 9.00 | 0.70 | 0.79 | 2 |
| HD117880 | 9300 | 3.30 | 14.0 | $-1.51$ | 9.06 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 1 |
| ... | 7914 | 2.83 | 14.5 | -2.25 | 9.06 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 2 |
| ... | 7880 | 3.30 | 16.5 | -1.60 | 9.06 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 4 |
| HD118055 | 4160 | 1.00 | 7.4 | -1.46 | 8.89 | 1.21 | 1.23 | 3 |
|  | 4088 | 0.80 | 5.0 | -1.80 | 8.89 | 1.21 | 1.23 | 4 |
| HD119516 | 5689 | 2.23 | 8.1 | -1.92 | 9.13 | 0.39 | 0.72 | 2 |
| ... | 5440 | 2.50 | 9.1 | -2.49 | 9.13 | 0.39 | 0.72 | 3 |
| HD121135 | 4910 | 1.90 | 8.6 | -1.83 | 9.30 | 0.60 | 0.83 | 3 |
| HD121261 | 4210 | 1.00 | 8.0 | $-1.52$ | 9.21 | 1.29 | 1.20 | 3 |
|  | 4210 | 1.00 | 5.0 | -1.50 | 9.21 | 1.29 | 1.20 | 4 |
| HD122563 | 4697 | 1.30 | 5.0 | -2.60 | 6.20 | 0.90 | 0.87 | 4 |

Table 3
(Continued)

| ID | $T_{\text {eff }}$ | $\log (g)$ | $v \sin i$ | [Fe/H] | V | ( $B-V$ ) | $(V-I)$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD122956 | 4530 | 1.60 | 6.3 | -1.71 | 7.25 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 3 |
|  | 4575 | 1.10 | 8.0 | -1.80 | 7.25 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 4 |
| HD124358 | 4640 | 1.50 | 6.7 | -1.98 | 9.54 | 0.84 | 0.96 | 3 |
| HD126238 | 4979 | 2.50 | 5.0 | -1.70 | 7.68 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 4 |
| HD126587 | 4850 | 1.80 | 4.3 | -2.58 | 9.15 | 0.73 | 0.81 | 3 |
| HD128279 | 5275 | 2.80 | 5.0 | -2.00 | 7.97 | 0.63 | 0.70 | 4 |
| HD128801 | 10300 | 3.55 | 9.0 | -1.56 | 8.73 | -0.04 | -0.02 | 1 |
| ... | 10162 | 3.54 | 8.6 | -1.38 | 8.73 | -0.04 | -0.02 | 2 |
| HD130095 | 9000 | 3.30 | 9.5 | -2.04 | 8.13 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 1 |
| ... | 9000 | 3.30 | 7.0 | -1.80 | 8.13 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 4 |
| HD130201 | 8650 | 3.50 | 16.0 | -0.86 | 10.07 | 0.08 | ... | 1 |
| HD132475 | 5920 | 3.60 | 5.0 | -1.10 | 8.57 | 0.53 | 0.58 | 4 |
| HD134169 | 5861 | 3.90 | 5.2 | -0.80 | 7.67 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 4 |
| HD135148 | 4180 | 0.80 | 7.8 | -1.88 | 9.40 | 1.21 | 1.36 | 3 |
| HD136316 | 4998 | 1.10 | 4.0 | -1.40 | 7.65 | 1.12 | 1.08 | 4 |
| HD139961 | 8500 | 3.20 | 37.0 | -1.68 | 8.86 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 1 |
| HD140283 | 5928 | 3.40 | 5.0 | -2.00 | 7.24 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 4 |
| HD141531 | 4340 | 1.10 | 7.5 | -1.57 | 9.15 | 1.15 | 1.20 | 3 |
| HD143459 | 9990 | 3.57 | 36.8 | -0.84 | 5.53 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 2 |
| HD145293 | 6394 | 3.04 | 9.8 | -1.11 | 10.03 | 0.58 | 0.73 | 2 |
| HD145417 | 4953 | 4.50 | 5.0 | $-1.20$ | 7.52 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 4 |
| HD145598 | 5525 | 4.40 | 5.0 | -0.60 | 8.66 | 0.66 | 0.72 | 4 |
| HD148704 | 5096 | 4.00 | 6.2 | $-0.50$ | 7.24 | 0.86 | 0.90 | 4 |
| HD148816 | 5882 | 4.00 | 5.7 | -0.70 | 7.27 | 0.54 | 0.65 | 4 |
| HD149414 | 5437 | 4.40 | 5.0 | -1.00 | 9.63 | 0.74 | 0.90 | 4 |
| HD149996 | 5700 | 3.90 | 5.0 | -0.60 | 8.49 | 0.61 | 0.68 | 4 |
| HD158809 | 5450 | 3.80 | 5.0 | -0.50 | 8.13 | 0.64 | 0.72 | 4 |
| HD159482 | 5987 | 4.30 | 5.0 | -1.00 | 8.39 | 0.56 | 0.69 | 4 |
| HD160617 | 6209 | 3.80 | 6.2 | -1.70 | 8.73 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 4 |
| HD161770 | 5696 | 3.69 | 2.6 | -1.81 | 9.66 | 0.66 | 0.73 | 2 |
|  | 5547 | 3.90 | 5.8 | -2.00 | 9.66 | 0.66 | 0.73 | 4 |
| HD161817 | 7550 | 3.00 | 17.0 | -1.64 | 6.99 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 1 |
|  | 7711 | 3.22 | 15.2 | -1.52 | 6.99 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 2 |
| HD163799 | 5859 | 3.90 | 5.2 | -0.90 | 8.81 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 4 |
| HD163810 | 5523 | 4.10 | 6.0 | -1.10 | 9.62 | 0.62 | 0.68 | 4 |
| HD165195 | 4200 | 0.76 | 1.8 | -2.16 | 7.34 | 1.24 | 1.19 | 5 |
|  | 4100 | 0.80 | 5.0 | -1.90 | 7.34 | 1.24 | 1.19 | 4 |
| HD167105 | 9050 | 3.30 | 21.5 | -1.66 | 8.93 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 1 |
| ... | 8875 | 3.37 | 20.0 | -1.62 | 8.93 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 2 |
| HD167768 | 4823 | 0.82 | 6.8 | -1.54 | 6.00 | 0.89 | 0.99 | 2 |
| HD171496 | 4820 | 2.30 | 7.1 | -1.16 | 8.52 | 1.07 | 1.04 | 3 |
|  | 4700 | 1.60 | 7.0 | -0.90 | 8.52 | 1.07 | 1.04 | 4 |
| HD175179 | 5830 | 3.90 | 4.4 | -0.70 | 9.04 | 0.58 | 0.66 | 4 |
| HD175305 | 5149 | 3.23 | 3.5 | -1.39 | 7.20 | 0.73 | 0.89 | 2 |
| HD176203 | 4820 | 2.40 | 6.8 | -1.81 | 8.79 | 0.69 | 0.78 | 3 |
| HD179626 | 6106 | 3.70 | 4.0 | -0.80 | 9.14 | 0.53 | 0.61 | 4 |
| HD180903 | 7700 | 3.10 | 18.5 | -1.32 | 9.61 | 0.15 | . . | 1 |
| HD181007 | 4770 | 2.00 | 4.2 | -2.00 | 9.63 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 3 |
| HD184266 | 5760 | 1.82 | 9.3 | -1.73 | 7.57 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 2 |
| ... | 5500 | 2.50 | 8.5 | -1.50 | 7.57 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 4 |
|  | 5490 | 2.60 | 5.0 | -1.87 | 7.57 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 5 |
| HD186478 | 4540 | 1.40 | 5.3 | -2.45 | 9.18 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 3 |
| HD187111 | 4260 | 1.04 | 2.4 | -1.65 | 7.75 | 1.17 | 1.13 | 5 |
| HD189558 | 5602 | 3.70 | 5.2 | -1.10 | 7.72 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 4 |
| HD192031 | 5324 | 4.40 | 5.0 | -0.80 | 8.66 | 0.72 | 0.78 | 4 |
| HD195019 | 4727 | 1.80 | 4.3 | -2.32 | 6.91 | 0.64 | 0.72 | 2 |
| HD195636 | 5399 | 1.93 | 20.6 | -2.74 | 9.57 | 0.56 | 0.71 | 2 |
| ... | 5370 | 2.40 | 22.2 | -2.40 | 9.57 | 0.56 | 0.71 | 5 |
| HD199288 | 5655 | 4.20 | 5.0 | -0.60 | 6.52 | 0.59 | 0.68 | 4 |
| HD199289 | 5984 | 4.30 | 4.0 | -0.80 | 8.30 | 0.52 | 0.61 | 4 |
| HD199854 | 6338 | 2.18 | 24.3 | -1.71 | 8.97 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 2 |
| HD200654 | 5477 | 3.60 | 4.0 | -2.40 | 9.11 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 4 |
| HD200973 | 6453 | 3.90 | 4.8 | -0.50 | 7.22 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 4 |
| HD201099 | 5912 | 4.00 | 5.3 | -0.50 | 7.60 | 0.52 | 0.62 | 4 |
| HD202759 | 7500 | 3.05 | 11.0 | -2.35 | 9.11 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 1 |
| HD203854 | 5923 | 0.84 | 198.9 | -2.26 | 9.15 | 0.48 |  | 2 |

Table 3
(Continued)

| ID | $T_{\text {eff }}$ | $\log (g)$ | $v \sin i$ | [Fe/H] | V | $(B-V)$ | $(V-I)$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD204543 | 5365 | 1.20 | 4.0 | -2.00 | 8.60 | 0.76 | 0.89 | 4 |
| HD206739 | 4620 | 1.80 | 5.1 | -1.57 | 8.70 | 0.89 | 0.98 | 3 |
|  | 4930 | 1.70 | 5.3 | -1.60 | 8.70 | 0.89 | 0.98 | 4 |
| HD208069 | 5030 | 2.60 | 3.7 | -1.83 | 9.25 | 0.68 | 0.75 | 3 |
| HD208110 | 5101 | 2.11 | 4.8 | -1.26 | 6.16 | 0.75 | 0.82 | 2 |
| HD212038 | 5076 | 4.50 | 4.4 | -0.50 | 8.79 | 0.79 | 0.88 | 4 |
| HD213467 | 5010 | 2.80 | 1.5 | -1.45 | 8.58 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 3 |
| HD213468 | 9150 | 3.30 | 12.0 | -1.67 | 10.78 | 0.10 | . . . | 1 |
| HD214362 | 5700 | 2.60 | 7.5 | -2.20 | 9.10 | 0.46 | 0.58 | 5 |
| HD214925 | 3890 | 0.30 | 4.5 | -2.14 | 9.30 | 1.47 | 1.50 | 5 |
| HD215257 | 5978 | 4.40 | 3.0 | -0.70 | 7.46 | 0.47 | 0.59 | 4 |
| HD217515 | 6727 | 3.79 | 9.7 | -1.02 | 9.36 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 2 |
| HD218732 | 3900 | 0.20 | 11.1 | -2.00 | 8.47 | 1.52 | 1.56 | 3 |
| HD218732 | 3900 | 0.20 | 3.1 | -2.00 | 8.47 | 1.52 | 1.56 | 5 |
| HD219617 | 5825 | 4.30 | 6.2 | $-1.50$ | 8.16 | 0.47 | 0.62 | 4 |
| HD220662 | 4450 | 1.30 | 3.0 | -1.75 | 10.03 | 1.09 | 1.01 | 3 |
| HD220787 | 17747 | 3.75 | 26.3 | -0.55 | 8.29 | -0.15 | -0.19 | 2 |
| HD220838 | 4280 | 1.10 | 6.5 | -1.53 | 9.39 | 1.11 | 1.12 | 3 |
| HD221170 | 4410 | 1.10 | 1.0 | -1.56 | 7.71 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 5 |
| HD222434 | 4430 | 1.30 | 5.0 | -1.56 | 8.81 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 3 |
|  | 4477 | 1.10 | 5.4 | -1.70 | 8.81 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 4 |
| HD229274 | 5690 | 2.46 | 6.7 | -1.40 | 9.06 | 0.57 | 0.69 | 2 |
| HD233666 | 5874 | 3.15 | 5.3 | -1.31 | 9.34 | 0.60 | 0.71 | 2 |
| HD252940 | 7550 | 2.95 | 24.5 | -1.80 | 9.10 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 1 |
|  | 7652 | 3.11 | 22.9 | -1.70 | 9.10 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 2 |
| HD274939 | 5282 | 3.00 | 3.0 | -1.20 | 9.45 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 4 |
| BD+00 0145 | 9121 | 4.18 | 27.8 | -2.47 | 10.60 | 0.14 | ... | 2 |
| BD+01 0514 | 7673 | 3.10 | 137.9 | -2.00 | 9.69 | 0.21 | $\ldots$ | 2 |
| BD+01 0548 | 8714 | 3.38 | 10.2 | -2.23 | 10.79 | -0.05 | ... | 2 |
| BD+03 0740 | 6406 | 3.76 | 6.0 | -2.87 | 9.82 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 2 |
| BD+09 3223 | 5305 | 1.91 | 5.4 | -2.34 | 9.25 | 0.56 | 0.69 | 2 |
| BD+1 3070 | 5130 | 2.70 | 5.0 | -1.85 | 9.99 | 0.63 | 0.81 | 3 |
| BD+3 2782 | 4500 | 1.30 | 5.3 | -2.01 | 9.72 | 0.90 | 1.05 | 3 |
| BD+3 740 | 6075 | 3.80 | 1.5 | -2.80 | 9.82 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 4 |
| BD+5 3098 | 4930 | 2.00 | 5.2 | -2.40 | 10.40 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 3 |
| BD+6 648 | 4500 | 1.10 | 6.0 | -2.10 | 9.09 | 1.18 | 1.24 | 4 |
|  | 4160 | 0.87 | 1.2 | -1.82 | 9.09 | 1.18 | 1.24 | 5 |
| BD+8 2856 | 4480 | 1.10 | 8.9 | -2.31 | 9.96 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 3 |
|  | 4480 | 1.10 | 7.0 | -2.30 | 9.96 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 4 |
| BD+9 2574 | 4860 | 2.10 | 2.5 | -1.95 | 10.34 | 0.74 | 0.82 | 3 |
| BD+9 2860 | 5240 | 2.50 | 3.9 | -1.67 | 10.74 | 0.53 | 0.76 | 3 |
| BD+9 2870 | 4600 | 1.40 | 5.2 | -2.37 | 9.70 | 0.88 | 1.01 | 3 |
| BD+9 3223 | 5310 | 2.40 | 4.8 | -2.41 | 9.25 | 0.56 | 0.69 | 3 |
| BD+10 2495 | 5275 | 2.75 | 2.6 | -2.07 | 9.69 | 0.63 | 0.79 | 2 |
| ... | 4920 | 2.20 | 3.8 | -2.14 | 9.69 | 0.63 | 0.79 | 3 |
| $\ldots$ | 5027 | 1.40 | 3.0 | -2.00 | 9.69 | 0.63 | 0.79 | 4 |
| BD+11 2998 | 5647 | 2.39 | 6.6 | -1.28 | 9.07 | 0.63 | 0.73 | 2 |
|  | 5360 | 2.50 | 6.8 | -1.46 | 9.07 | 0.63 | 0.73 | 3 |
| BD+12 2547 | 4610 | 1.50 | 3.9 | -2.07 | 9.92 | 0.83 | 1.01 | 3 |
| BD+13 3683 | 5540 | 3.10 | 5.1 | -1.90 | 10.55 | 0.64 | 0.73 | 3 |
| BD+14 4757 | 6390 | 4.99 | 2.1 | $-0.56$ | 10.14 | 0.43 | $\ldots$ | 2 |
| BD+17 3248 | 5398 | 2.21 | 5.4 | -2.08 | 9.37 | 0.62 | 0.73 | 2 |
| BD+174708 | 6297 | 4.40 | 3.5 | -1.61 | 9.45 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 2 |
| BD+18 2757 | 4741 | 1.16 | 5.5 | -2.43 | 9.83 | 0.77 | 0.81 | 2 |
| ... | 4840 | 1.70 | 7.8 | -2.52 | 9.83 | 0.77 | 0.81 | 3 |
| BD+18 2890 | 5347 | 2.60 | 3.2 | -1.78 | 9.77 | 0.72 | 0.84 | 2 |
|  | 4970 | 2.50 | 5.1 | -1.61 | 9.77 | 0.72 | 0.84 | 3 |
| BD+18 2976 | 4550 | 1.30 | 5.2 | -2.42 | 9.85 | 0.78 | 1.02 | 3 |
| BD+20 3004 | 14549 | 3.80 | 104.7 | -0.91 | 10.04 | -0.06 | -0.12 | 2 |
| BD+22 2411 | 4320 | 1.00 | 0.0 | -1.95 | 9.95 | 1.25 | 1.21 | 5 |
| BD+25 1981 | 7302 | 4.41 | 7.9 | -1.43 | 9.29 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 2 |
| BD+25 2436 | 4847 | 2.14 | 6.1 | -0.76 | 9.92 | 0.89 | ... | 2 |
| BD+25 2497 | 5169 | 2.42 | 5.1 | -0.84 | 10.29 | 0.91 | . | 2 |
| BD+25 2602 | 8400 | 3.20 | 16.0 | -1.98 | 10.14 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 1 |
| ... | 8250 | 3.26 | 13.3 | -2.08 | 10.14 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 2 |
| BD+27 2057 | 4695 | 1.58 | 6.5 | $-1.25$ | 9.44 | 0.99 | . . . | 2 |

Table 3
(Continued)

| ID | $T_{\text {eff }}$ | $\log (g)$ | $v \sin i$ | [ $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}$ ] | V | ( $B-V$ ) | $(V-I)$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BD+29 2231 | 4756 | 2.39 | 4.8 | -0.65 | 9.81 | 0.92 | ... | 2 |
| BD+29 2294 | 5132 | 3.18 | 5.0 | -0.55 | 9.51 | 0.82 |  | 2 |
| BD+30 2355 | 10215 | 3.29 | 97.3 | -2.70 | 10.65 | -0.10 | -0.04 | 2 |
| BD+30 2034 | 4290 | 1.00 | 8.9 | -1.53 | 10.40 | 1.40 | 1.23 | 3 |
| BD+32 2188 | 10450 | 2.10 | 2.5 | -1.45 | 10.65 | 0.01 | -0.01 | 1 |
|  | 10257 | 2.00 | 0.4 | -1.05 | 10.65 | 0.01 | -0.01 | 2 |
| BD+33 2171 | 7149 | 3.72 | 44.0 | -1.73 | 10.63 | 0.15 | 0.33 | 2 |
| BD+36 2242 | 11650 | 4.01 | 77.1 | -0.84 | 9.91 | -0.06 | -0.04 | 2 |
| BD+36 2303 | 4705 | 2.40 | 5.1 | -0.77 | 9.54 | 1.02 | ... | 2 |
| BD+42 2309 | 8800 | 3.20 | 35.0 | -1.62 | 10.82 | -0.02 | 0.06 | 1 |
|  | 8796 | 3.39 | 30.7 | -1.69 | 10.82 | -0.02 | 0.06 | 2 |
| BD+44 493 | 5510 | 2.60 | 3.9 | -2.71 | 9.13 | 0.46 | 0.78 | 3 |
| BD-02 0524 | 16563 | 3.75 | 11.9 | -0.63 | 10.34 | -0.13 | -0.11 | 2 |
| BD-1 1792 | 4850 | 2.70 | 4.6 | -1.20 | 9.25 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 4 |
| BD-1 2582 | 5130 | 2.40 | 6.9 | -2.32 | 9.60 | 0.67 | 0.78 | 3 |
|  | 5130 | 2.40 | 10.0 | -2.30 | 9.60 | 0.67 | 0.78 | 4 |
| BD-3 5215 | 5420 | 2.60 | 7.3 | -1.64 | 10.13 | 0.68 | 0.77 | 3 |
| BD-8 3901 | 4600 | 1.90 | 4.3 | -1.88 | 9.44 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 3 |
| BD-9 5831 | 4550 | 1.40 | 5.1 | -1.87 | 9.50 | 0.83 | 0.96 | 3 |
|  | 5327 | 1.40 | 3.0 | -2.00 | 9.50 | 0.83 | 0.96 | 4 |
| BD-10 548 | 4900 | 2.40 | 3.0 | -1.71 | 10.60 | 0.44 | 0.81 | 3 |
|  | 5706 | 3.00 | 4.0 | -1.50 | 10.60 | 0.44 | 0.81 | 4 |
| BD-11 145 | 4780 | 1.70 | 5.2 | -2.02 | 10.60 | 0.70 | 0.91 | 3 |
| BD-12 2669 | 6880 | 3.91 | 32.0 | -2.04 | 10.22 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 2 |
| BD-14 5890 | 4840 | 2.10 | 2.2 | -2.01 | 10.31 | 0.78 | 0.90 | 3 |
| BD-15 5781 | 4590 | 1.60 | 3.4 | -2.47 | 10.80 | 0.70 | 0.97 | 3 |
| BD-17 6036 | 4830 | 1.90 | 3.9 | -2.54 | 10.60 | 0.60 | 0.86 | 3 |
| BD-18 271 | 4150 | 0.70 | 0.0 | -1.98 | 9.85 | 1.06 | 1.18 | 5 |
| BD-18 5550 | 4820 | 1.80 | 3.9 | -3.11 | 9.35 | 0.68 | 0.76 | 3 |
| BD-19 1422 | 4800 | 2.20 | 5.6 | -1.86 | 9.77 | 0.73 | 0.82 | 3 |
| BD-20 170 | 5130 | 2.50 | 5.4 | -1.31 | 10.31 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 3 |
| BD-20 6008 | 4550 | 1.30 | 0.5 | -2.63 | 9.89 | 0.71 | 0.87 | 3 |
| BD-22 395 | 4780 | 1.70 | 6.5 | -2.14 | 10.60 | 0.70 | 0.83 | 3 |
| BPSCS22189-5 | 7397 | 3.60 | 13.9 | -1.00 | 14.18 | 0.31 | . . . | 2 |
| BPSCS22894-36 | 7832 | 4.12 | 10.2 | -1.77 | 14.76 | 0.28 | $\ldots$ | 2 |
| CD-23 72 | 5270 | 2.50 | 8.9 | -1.12 | 9.20 | 0.58 | 0.76 | 3 |
| CD-24 1782 | 5300 | 2.80 | 8.0 | -2.80 | 9.97 | 0.57 | 0.71 | 4 |
| CD-30 1121 | 4940 | 2.40 | 2.5 | -1.82 | 10.33 | 0.67 | 0.81 | 3 |
| CD-30 298 | 5120 | 2.40 | 3.5 | -2.90 | 10.70 | 0.60 | 0.73 | 3 |
| CD-30 8626 | 5000 | 2.20 | 5.0 | -1.67 | 9.70 | 0.73 | 0.82 | 3 |
| CD-33 9314 | 4820 | 2.00 | 5.0 | -2.10 | 10.04 | 0.64 | 0.82 | 3 |
| CD-36 1052 | 5890 | 2.50 | 8.8 | -2.00 | 10.00 | 0.37 | 0.54 | 5 |
| CD-37 14010 | 4300 | 0.90 | 19.4 | -2.55 | 9.74 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 3 |
| CD-38 245 | 4920 | 1.80 | 3.4 | -4.00 | 12.00 | 0.73 | 0.84 | 3 |
| Feige40 | 15904 | 4.54 | 120.7 | -1.71 | 11.10 | 0.00 | -0.13 | 2 |
| Feige84 | 18587 | 4.45 | 111.0 | $<-1.54$ | 11.40 | 0.10 | -0.17 | 2 |
| GCRV63536 | 8702 | 4.29 | 10.9 | -0.83 | 11.17 | 0.16 | ... | 2 |
| HZ27 | 9883 | 3.38 | 6.6 | -1.39 | 10.43 | ... | $\ldots$ | 2 |
| PG0855+294 | 20049 | 5.64 | 135.5 | $<-1.74$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2 |
| PG1205+228 | 16271 | 3.06 | 175.1 | $<-2.27$ | 11.01 | $-0.13$ | -0.17 | 2 |
| PG2219+094 | 17402 | 3.91 | 225.3 | -1.88 | ... | . | ... | 2 |
| PHL3275 | 4734 | 2.81 | 2.8 | -0.73 | 11.20 | 0.90 | . | 2 |

References. (1) Kinman et al. 2000; (2) Behr 2003b; (3) Carney et al. 2003; (4) De Medeiros et al. 2006; (5) Carney et al. 2008.
passband of the Strömgren system, since $V$ and $y$ magnitudes are well known to be basically identical (Clem et al. 2004). We calculated the absolute magnitude $M_{V}$ and the unreddened color $(B-V)_{0}$ provided in Table 4 using the distance modulus and reddening values compiled in Harris (1996) for each GC (see Table 2).

In order to improve the analysis, we divided our stellar sample into different evolutionary stages, leading to a total of 51, 131,
and 277 stars on the main sequence, the subgiant branch (SGB) and RGB, and the HB, respectively. We note that in the RGB sample, there are 14 confirmed binary systems (see Table 5 of Carney et al. 2003 for details). The HB group was divided in two subgroups: the field HB group with 70 stars and the HB in GCs group with 207 stars. Let us stress that there is an important difference between the resulting field and GC HB samples: while the field HB sample comprises red and blue HB stars (though

Table 4
Data Characteristics

| ID | $T_{\text {eff }}$ | $\log (g)$ | $v \sin i$ | [Fe/H] | $V$ | ( $B-V$ ) | Other | $M_{V}$ | $(B-V)_{0}$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3/19 | 7607 | 3.01 | 7.40 | $\ldots$ | 15.64 | 0.16 | $\ldots$ | 0.52 | 0.15 | 2 |
| M3/20 | 7640 | 3.02 | 10.60 | $\ldots$ | 15.64 | 0.15 | $\ldots$ | 0.52 | 0.14 | 2 |
| M3/54 | 7764 | 3.05 | 11.80 | $\ldots$ | 15.67 | 0.13 | $\ldots$ | 0.55 | 0.12 | 2 |
| M3/97 | 7579 | 3.01 | 14.80 | $\ldots$ | 15.62 | 0.16 | $\ldots$ | 0.50 | 0.15 | 2 |
| M3/109 | 7583 | 3.01 | 9.20 | $\ldots$ | 15.60 | 0.15 | $\ldots$ | 0.48 | 0.14 | 2 |
| M3/168,1371,AX | 7702 | 3.04 | 16.00 | ... | 15.62 | 0.12 | ... | 0.50 | 0.11 | 2 |
| M3/201,1130,II-63 | 7818 | 3.07 | 12.70 | ... | 15.68 | 0.12 | $\ldots$ | 0.56 | 0.11 | 2 |
| M3/237 | 7932 | 3.09 | 18.60 | $\ldots$ | 15.70 | 0.10 | $\ldots$ | 0.58 | 0.09 | 2 |
| M3/378,258,Z | 8078 | 3.13 | 12.20 | $\ldots$ | 15.70 | 0.05 | $\ldots$ | 0.58 | 0.04 | 2 |
| M3/444,343,III-72 | 7331 | 2.94 | 15.70 | $\ldots$ | 15.46 | 0.21 | $\ldots$ | 0.34 | 0.20 | 2 |
| M3/512 | 9166 | 3.37 | 1.60 | ... | 15.94 | 0.01 | $\ldots$ | 0.82 | 0.00 | 2 |
| M3/518 | 7996 | 3.11 | 11.70 |  | 15.70 | 0.08 |  | 0.58 | 0.07 | 2 |
|  | 8224 | 3.21 | 5.87 | -1.63 | 15.70 | 0.08 | $\ldots$ | 0.58 | 0.07 | 5 |
| M3/523,1335,I-51 | 7822 | 3.07 | 13.90 | ... | 15.71 | 0.14 | ... | 0.59 | 0.13 | 2 |
| M3/701 | 7797 | 3.06 | 9.80 | $\ldots$ | 15.64 | 0.10 | $\ldots$ | 0.52 | 0.09 | 2 |
| M3/760 | 7430 | 2.97 | 15.50 | $\ldots$ | 15.64 | 0.21 | $\ldots$ | 0.52 | 0.20 | 2 |
| M3/831 | 8890 | 3.22 | 13.50 |  | 15.88 | 0.00 | $\ldots$ | 0.76 | -0.01 | 2,6 |
|  | 9148 | 3.41 | 9.99 | -1.52 | 15.88 | 0.00 |  | 0.76 | -0.01 | 5 |
| M3/885 | 8572 | 3.26 | 9.90 | ... | 15.82 | 0.03 | ... | 0.70 | 0.02 | 2,6 |
| M3/1038 | 7784 | 3.06 | 16.30 | $\ldots$ | 15.65 | 0.11 | $\ldots$ | 0.53 | 0.10 | 2 |
| M3/1060 | 8138 | 3.14 | 11.20 | $\ldots$ | 15.73 | 0.06 | $\ldots$ | 0.61 | 0.05 | 2 |
| M3/1195,1009 | 8600 | 3.34 | 10.70 | ... | 15.83 | 0.04 | ... | 0.71 | 0.03 | 2,6 |
| M3/1228 | 8391 | 3.36 | 20.70 | $\ldots$ | 15.79 | 0.05 | $\ldots$ | 0.67 | 0.04 | 2,6 |
| M3/1280 | 9801 | 3.49 | 8.70 |  | 15.72 | 0.16 | $\ldots$ | 0.60 | 0.15 | 2 |
| M3/B1241 | 9600 | 3.50 | 6.48 | -1.38 | 15.99 | -0.01 |  | 0.87 | -0.02 | 5 |
| M3/B125 | 8937 | 3.43 | 14.72 | -1.51 | 15.86 | 0.08 | $0.05^{\text {a }}$ | 0.74 | 0.07 | 5 |
| M3/B244 | 9408 | 3.48 | 33.70 | -1.44 | 15.96 | -0.01 | $-0.06^{\text {a }}$ | 0.84 | -0.02 | 5 |
| M3/B445 | 10047 | 3.71 | 31.97 | -2.25 | 16.34 | -0.01 | $-0.01^{\text {a }}$ | 1.22 | -0.02 | 5 |
| M4/2602 | ... | ... | 14.00 | ... | 13.30 | 0.53 | ... | 0.47 | 0.17 | 1 |
| M4/2613 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 11.00 | $\ldots$ | 13.60 | 0.42 | $\ldots$ | 0.77 | 0.06 | 1 |
| M4/2614 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3.00 | $\ldots$ | 13.40 | 0.50 | $\ldots$ | 0.57 | 0.14 | 1 |
| M4/2616 | ... | $\ldots$ | 13.00 | $\ldots$ | 13.10 | 0.58 | $\ldots$ | 0.27 | 0.22 | 1 |
| M4/3301 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 7.00 | $\ldots$ | 13.20 | 0.46 | $\ldots$ | 0.37 | 0.10 | 1 |
| M4/3307 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 11.00 | $\ldots$ | 13.20 | 0.43 | $\ldots$ | 0.37 | 0.07 | 1 |
| M4/3315 | ... | ... | 14.00 | ... | 13.20 | 0.43 | ... | 0.37 | 0.07 | 1 |
| M4/3511 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3.00 | $\ldots$ | 13.10 | 0.44 | $\ldots$ | 0.27 | 0.08 | 1 |
| M4/3633 | ... | ... | 10.00 | $\ldots$ | 13.30 | 0.53 | $\ldots$ | 0.47 | 0.17 | 1 |
| M13/I-3 | 10335 | 3.65 | 9.30 | $\ldots$ | 15.48 | 0.03 | ... | 1.00 | 0.01 | 2 |
| M13/I-8 | 12749 | 4.09 | 13.60 | $\ldots$ | 15.86 | -0.11 | $\ldots$ | 1.38 | -0.13 | 2 |
| M13/I-15 | 9629 | 3.50 | 7.80 | $\ldots$ | 15.36 | 0.09 | ... | 0.88 | 0.07 | 2 |
| M13/I-21 | 8981 | 3.35 | 7.80 | ... | 15.21 | 0.00 | $\ldots$ | 0.73 | -0.02 | 2 |
| M13/I-57 | 9385 | 3.45 | 32.70 | $\ldots$ | 15.30 | 0.03 | ... | 0.82 | 0.01 | 2 |
| M13/I-64 | 7970 | 3.10 | 29.80 | $\ldots$ | 15.08 | 0.18 | $\ldots$ | 0.60 | 0.16 | 2 |
| M13/I-89 | 8151 | 3.15 | 26.30 | $\ldots$ | 15.10 | 0.16 | $\ldots$ | 0.62 | 0.14 | 2 |
| M13/II-5 | 10502 | 3.68 | 13.40 | $\ldots$ | 15.51 | 0.03 | $\ldots$ | 1.03 | 0.01 | 2 |
| M13/II-19 | 10845 | 3.75 | 10.60 | $\ldots$ | 15.57 | 0.03 | $\ldots$ | 1.09 | 0.01 | 2 |
| M13/II-22 | 10446 | 3.67 | 20.00 | $\ldots$ | 15.50 | 0.03 | $\ldots$ | 1.02 | 0.01 | 2 |
| M13/II-61 | 10886 | 3.76 | 13.90 | ... | 15.57 | -0.03 | ... | 1.09 | -0.05 | 2 |
| M13/II-65 | 10496 | 3.68 | 8.60 | $\ldots$ | 15.51 | 0.04 | $\ldots$ | 1.03 | 0.02 | 2 |
| M13/II-68 | 8595 | 3.26 | 10.10 | $\ldots$ | 15.13 | 0.03 | $\ldots$ | 0.65 | 0.01 | 2 |
| M13/II-83 | 8600 | 3.26 | 13.00 | $\ldots$ | 15.12 | 0.00 | ... | 0.64 | -0.02 | 2 |
| M13/III-38 | 11127 | 3.80 | 14.40 | $\ldots$ | 15.61 | -0.04 | $\ldots$ | 1.13 | -0.06 | 2 |
| M13/III-58 | 8829 | 3.32 | 9.90 | $\ldots$ | 15.20 | 0.09 | ... | 0.72 | 0.07 | 2 |
| M13/III-70 | 10056 | 3.59 | 23.60 | $\ldots$ | 15.43 | 0.03 | $\ldots$ | 0.95 | 0.01 | 2 |
| M13/IV-23 | 12000 | 3.96 | 11.30 | $\ldots$ | 15.75 | -0.06 | $\ldots$ | 1.27 | -0.08 | 2 |
| M13/IV-81 | 10246 | 3.63 | 37.60 | ... | 15.46 | 0.00 | ... | 0.98 | -0.02 | 2 |
| M13/IV-83 | 8450 | 3.28 | 32.85 | $-1.81$ | 15.22 | 0.06 | $\ldots$ | 0.74 | 3.26 | 5 |
| M13/J11 | 7681 | 3.08 | 22.23 | -1.81 | 15.00 | 0.17 | $\ldots$ | 0.52 | 3.06 | 5 |
| M13/J24 | 9375 | 3.44 | 39.30 | ... | 15.30 | 0.04 | $\ldots$ | 0.82 | 0.02 | 2 |
| M13/J431 | 9944 | 3.57 | 19.10 | ... | 15.41 | 0.04 | $\ldots$ | 0.93 | 0.02 | 2 |
| M13/J52 | 8244 | 3.17 | 14.00 | $\ldots$ | 15.08 | 0.10 | $\ldots$ | 0.60 | 0.08 | 2 |
| M13/J7 | 10329 | 3.65 | 9.70 | .. | 15.47 | -0.04 | $\ldots$ | 0.99 | -0.06 | 2 |
| M13/SA113 | 10363 | 3.72 | 4.88 | -1.73 | 15.69 | 0.02 | $\ldots$ | 1.21 | 3.70 | 5 |
| M13/SA368 | 8586 | 3.26 | 8.90 | ... | 15.14 | 0.06 | ... | 0.66 | 0.04 | 2 |
| M13/SA404 | 10449 | 3.66 | 2.33 | $-1.74$ | 15.58 | -0.03 | $\ldots$ | 1.10 | 3.64 | 5 |
| M13/SA581 | 11115 | 3.80 | 5.80 | ... | 15.61 | -0.02 | $\ldots$ | 1.13 | -0.04 | 2 |

Table 4
(Continued)

| ID | $T_{\text {eff }}$ | $\log (g)$ | $v \sin i$ | [ $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}$ ] | V | ( $B-V$ ) | Other | $M_{V}$ | $(B-V)_{0}$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M13/WF2-820 | 11838 | 3.90 | 6.32 | -0.22 | 16.84 | -0.07 |  | 2.36 | 3.88 | 5 |
| M13/WF2-2541 | 13353 | 4.18 | 4.17 | -0.27 | 16.03 | -0.13 | $-0.06^{\text {a }}$ | 1.55 | 4.16 | 5 |
| M13/WF2-2692 | 12530 | 4.08 | 0.00 | -0.23 | 16.47 | -0.09 | $-0.11^{\text {a }}$ | 1.99 | 4.06 | 5 |
| M13/WF2-3035 | 9367 | 3.47 | 4.64 | -1.53 | 16.34 | -0.07 |  | 1.86 | 3.45 | 5 |
| M13/WF2-3123 | 13667 | 4.31 | 16.01 | -0.71 | 15.34 | -0.01 | $0.05^{\text {a }}$ | 0.86 | 4.29 | 5 |
| M13/WF3-548 | 13103 | 4.16 | 1.89 | -0.64 | 15.77 | -0.06 | ... | 1.29 | 4.14 | 5 |
| M13/WF3-1718 | 11513 | 3.79 | 4.29 | 0.02 | 16.52 | -0.09 | ... | 2.04 | 3.77 | 5 |
| M13/WF4-3085 | 14200 | 4.15 | 3.63 | 0.7 | 16.23 |  |  | 1.75 |  | 5 |
| M13/WF4-3485 | 13151 | 4.08 | 3.23 | -0.08 | 16.25 | -0.06 |  | 1.77 | 4.06 | 5 |
| M15/699 | 10839 | 3.88 | 23.00 | ... | 16.63 |  | $0.06^{\text {a }}$ | 1.26 | ... | 4 |
| M15/768 | 12190 | 4.01 | 4.00 | $\ldots$ | 16.94 | -0.01 |  | 1.57 | -0.11 | 4 |
| M15/788 | 8017 | 3.52 | 7.00 | $\ldots$ | 15.94 |  | $0.24{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.57 |  | 4 |
| M15/817 | 11967 | 3.99 | 4.00 | $\ldots$ | 17.04 | -0.01 |  | 1.67 | -0.11 | 4 |
| M15/1048 | 11429 | 3.94 | 11.00 | $\ldots$ | 16.79 | ... | $0.03{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.42 | ... | 4 |
| M15/1813 | 8511 | 3.59 | 10.00 | $\ldots$ | 16.04 | 0.12 | ... | 0.67 | 0.02 | 4 |
| M15/2700 | 13521 | 4.14 | 7.00 | $\ldots$ | 16.66 | -0.03 | $\ldots$ | 1.29 | -0.13 | 4 |
| M15/2917 | 8433 | 3.58 | 7.00 | $\ldots$ | 15.98 | 0.12 | ... | 0.61 | 0.02 | 4 |
| M15/3333 | 8570 | 3.60 | 12.00 | $\ldots$ | 16.17 | 0.13 | $\ldots$ | 0.80 | 0.03 | 4 |
| M15/4047 | 8610 | 3.60 | 5.00 | $\ldots$ | 16.15 | 0.11 | ... | 0.78 | 0.01 | 4 |
| M15/4536 | 10544 | 3.84 | 4.00 | $\ldots$ | 17.08 | 0.02 | $\ldots$ | 1.71 | -0.08 | 4 |
| M15/5168 | 12823 | 4.07 | 6.00 |  | 16.88 | -0.02 |  | 1.51 | -0.12 | 4 |
| M15/5516 | 8954 | 3.65 | 12.00 | ... | 16.28 | 0.08 | $\ldots$ | 0.91 | -0.02 | 4 |
| M15/6143 | 8995 | 3.65 | 5.00 |  | 16.21 | 0.08 |  | 0.84 | -0.02 | 4 |
| M15/B78 | 8198 | 3.15 | 9.35 | -2.36 | 15.99 | 0.15 | $0.30^{\text {a }}$ | 0.62 | 0.05 | 5 |
| M15/B84 | 12013 | 3.68 | 4.89 | -0.12 | 16.56 | 0.00 | $0.03{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.19 | -0.10 | 5 |
| M15/B124 | 8085 | 3.10 | 5.60 | -2.31 | 15.91 | 0.15 | $0.33{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.54 | 0.05 | 5 |
| M15/B130 | 8995 | 3.65 | 5.00 | ... | 15.96 | 0.15 |  | 0.59 | 0.05 | 4 |
| M15/B130 | 8465 | 3.19 | 3.40 | -2.44 | 15.96 | 0.15 | $0.26^{\text {a }}$ | 0.59 | 0.05 | 5 |
| M15/B153 | 8368 | 3.17 | 30.13 | -2.45 | 15.95 | 0.14 | ... | 0.58 | 0.04 | 5 |
| M15/B177 | 8206 | 3.17 | 10.60 | -2.25 | 16.03 | 0.15 | . | 0.66 | 0.05 | 5 |
| M15/B203 | 13993 | 3.84 | 4.89 | 0.02 | 16.68 | -0.01 | $-0.02^{\text {a }}$ | 1.31 | -0.11 | 5 |
| M15/B218 | 8091 | 3.53 | 13.00 |  | 15.99 | 0.16 |  | 0.62 | 0.06 | 4 |
|  | 8302 | 3.18 | 16.09 | -2.46 | 15.99 | 0.16 | $0.28{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.62 | 0.06 | 5 |
| M15/B244 | 8342 | 3.16 | 9.47 | -2.4 | 15.96 | 0.14 | ... | 0.59 | 0.04 | 5 |
| M15/B267 | 11196 | 3.69 | 6.67 | 0.08 | 16.72 | 0.03 | ... | 1.35 | -0.07 | 5 |
| M15/B279 | 11270 | 3.63 | 5.92 | 0.25 | 16.56 | 0.01 | $\ldots$ | 1.19 | -0.09 | 5 |
| M15/B315 | 12892 | 3.81 | 1.72 | 0.04 | 16.80 | -0.02 | $\ldots$ | 1.43 | -0.12 | 5 |
| M15/B331 | 8445 | 3.20 | 8.03 | -2.3 | 16.04 | 0.14 |  | 0.67 | 0.04 | 5 |
| M15/B334 | 10748 | 3.61 | 9.22 | -2.37 | 16.58 | 0.02 | $0.12^{\text {a }}$ | 1.21 | -0.08 | 5,7 |
| M15/B348 | 12150 | 4.20 | 17.54 | -2.26 | 16.69 | 0.01 | $0.06^{\text {a }}$ | 1.32 | -0.09 | 5 |
| M15/B374 | 12820 | 3.82 | 3.92 | 0.24 | 16.79 | -0.02 |  | 1.42 | -0.12 | 5 |
| M15/B424 | 8563 | 3.18 | 38.26 | -2.36 | 15.89 | 0.14 | $0.25{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.52 | 0.04 | 5 |
| M15/B558 | 8250 | 3.14 | 10.23 | -2.51 | 15.93 | 0.14 | $0.30^{\text {a }}$ | 0.56 | 0.04 | 5 |
| M68/W71 | 8957 | 3.37 | 10.65 | -2.19 | 15.89 | 0.07 | $0.13{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.70 | 0.02 | 5 |
| M68/W72 | 10914 | 3.70 | 21.98 | -2.27 | 16.37 | -0.01 | $0.02^{\text {a }}$ | 1.18 | -0.06 | 5 |
| M68/W114 | 7861 | 3.13 | 7.60 | -2.36 | 15.73 | 0.18 | $0.26^{\text {a }}$ | 0.54 | 0.13 | 5 |
| M68/W120 | 8698 | 3.32 | 11.16 | -2.34 | 15.86 | 0.08 | $0.10^{\text {a }}$ | 0.67 | 0.03 | 5 |
| M68/W161 | 8754 | 3.35 | 6.09 | -2.24 | 15.90 | 0.08 | $0.14{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.71 | 0.03 | 5 |
| M68/W279 | 8964 | 3.37 | 4.81 | -2.34 | 15.86 | 0.07 | $0.12^{\text {a }}$ | 0.67 | 0.02 | 5 |
| M68/W324 | 7758 | 3.13 | 34.08 | -2.28 | 15.77 | 0.20 | $0.29^{\text {a }}$ | 0.58 | 0.15 | 5 |
| M68/W340 | 7876 | 3.15 | 7.52 | -2.36 | 15.75 | 0.18 | $0.26^{\text {a }}$ | 0.56 | 0.13 | 5 |
| M68/W464 | 7532 | 2.96 | 30.62 | -2.25 | 15.49 | 0.21 | $0.35^{\text {a }}$ | 0.30 | 0.16 | 5 |
| M68/W468 | 8890 | 3.35 | 5.64 | -2.17 | 15.84 | 0.08 | $0.12^{\text {a }}$ | 0.65 | 0.03 | 5 |
| M68/W510 | 7630 | 3.07 | 13.88 | -2.33 | 15.72 | 0.19 | $0.34{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.53 | 0.14 | 5 |
| M79/209 | 7430 | 2.96 | 3.00 | -1.48 | ... | ... | 17.85; $1.78{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.47 | ... | 4, 8 |
| M79/243 | 7727 | 3.04 | 16.00 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 17.96; $1.72^{\text {b }}$ | 0.64 | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M79/275 | 7745 | 3.04 | 27.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 17.97; $1.72^{\text {b }}$ | 0.66 | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M79/281 | 8241 | 3.17 | 5.00 | -1.37 | ... | ... | 17.96; $1.61{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.76 | $\ldots$ | 4, 8 |
| M79/289 | 8128 | 3.15 | 22.00 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 17.96; $1.64{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.73 | ... | 4 |
| M79/294 | 7603 | 3.01 | 20.00 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 17.99; $1.75{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.65 | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M79/295 | 8054 | 3.13 | 26.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 18.02; 1.65 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.78 | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M79/297 | 9268 | 3.42 | 20.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 17.85; $1.39^{\text {b }}$ | 0.87 | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M79/298 | 8204 | 3.16 | 26.00 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 17.97; $1.62^{\text {b }}$ | 0.76 | ... | 4 |
| M79/327 | 8395 | 3.21 | 24.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 18.00; $1.57^{\text {b }}$ | 0.84 | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M79/354 | 9795 | 3.54 | 5.00 | -1.17 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 17.93; $1.29^{\text {b }}$ | 1.05 | $\ldots$ | 4, 8 |
| M79/363 | 11614 | 3.89 | 10.00 | 0.49 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 17.87; $0.98{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.31 | $\cdots$ | 4 |

CORTÉS ET AL.
Table 4
(Continued)

| ID | $T_{\text {eff }}$ | $\log (g)$ | $v \sin i$ | [Fe/H] | V | ( $B-V$ ) | Other | $M_{V}$ | $(B-V)_{0}$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M79/366 | 9354 | 3.44 | 7.00 |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 17.99; $1.38{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.02 | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M79/389 | 11041 | 3.79 | 5.00 | 0.39 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 17.78; $1.08{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.12 | ... | 4, 8 |
| M79/392 | 11912 | 3.95 | 6.00 | 0.42 | $\ldots$ | ... | 17.68; $0.94{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.16 | $\ldots$ | 4,8 |
| M79/434 | 12445 | 4.04 | 3.00 | 0.39 | ... | $\ldots$ | 17.78; $0.86{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.33 | ... | 4, 8 |
| M79/469 | 12190 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 0.60 |  | $\ldots$ | 18.00; 0.90 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.52 |  | 4, 8 |
| M79/489 | 15276 | 4.47 | 5.00 | 0.36 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 17.86; $0.52^{\text {b }}$ | 1.76 | $\ldots$ | 4, 8 |
| M79/535 | 14388 | 4.34 | 13.00 | 0.39 | $\ldots$ | ... | 18.08; $0.61{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.88 | $\ldots$ | 4,8 |
| M79/555 | 14158 | 4.31 | 8.00 | ... |  |  | 18.18; $0.63^{\text {b }}$ | 1.96 |  | 4 |
| M80/83 | 9727 | 3.52 | 10.00 | $\ldots$ | 16.57 | $\ldots$ | $0.27^{\text {a }}$ | 1.01 | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M80/107 | 11194 | 3.82 | 6.00 | ... | 16.88 | ... | $0.22^{\text {a }}$ | 1.32 | $\ldots$ |  |
| M80/109 | 10814 | 3.74 | 3.00 |  | 16.86 |  | $0.23{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.30 |  | 4 |
| M80/454 | 23442 | 5.37 | 4.00 | $\ldots$ | 18.70 | $\ldots$ | $0.03{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.14 | ... | 4 |
| M80/509 | 8831 | 3.32 | 7.00 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 16.62; $0.22^{\text {c }}$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M80/820 | 8974 | 3.35 | 8.00 | $\ldots$ |  |  | 16.68; $0.21^{\text {c }}$ | ... | ... | 4 |
| M80/1149 | 17742 | 4.78 | 9.00 | $\ldots$ | 18.15 | $\ldots$ | $0.10^{\text {a }}$ | 2.59 | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M80/1400 | 11143 | 3.81 | 5.00 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 18.14; $0.14^{\text {c }}$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M80/2044 | 11298 | 3.84 | 8.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 16.99; $0.14^{\text {c }}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| M80/2242 | 24266 | 5.44 | 7.00 |  |  |  | 18.74; $0.01{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 4 |
| M80/938 | 12560 | 4.06 | 5.00 |  |  | $\ldots$ | 16.89; -0.15 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 4 |
| M92/IV-17 | 9375 | 3.60 | 15.00 |  | 15.50 | 0.02 | $0.01{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.86 | 0.00 | 3 |
|  | 9419 | 3.47 | 8.93 | -2.45 | 15.50 | 0.02 |  | 0.86 | 0.00 | 5 |
| M92/IV-27 | 7550 | 3.10 | 27.00 |  | 15.19 | 0.17 | $0.12{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.55 | 0.15 | 3 |
|  | 7601 | 3.11 | 15.93 | -2.34 | 15.19 | 0.17 | ... | 0.55 | 0.15 | 5 |
| M92/VI-10 | 7763 | 3.16 | 6.49 | -2.58 | 15.24 | 0.13 |  | 0.60 | 0.11 | 5 |
| M92/X-22 | 7450 | 3.10 | 15.00 |  | 15.16 | 0.17 | $0.08{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.52 | 0.15 | 3 |
|  | 7495 | 3.07 | 8.00 | -2.69 | 15.16 | 0.17 |  | 0.52 | 0.15 | 5 |
| M92/XII-1 | 7325 | 3.00 | 43.00 |  | 15.11 | 0.19 | $0.07{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.47 | 0.17 | 3 |
|  | 7303 | 3.01 | 26.69 | -2.45 | 15.11 | 0.19 |  | 0.47 | 0.17 | 5 |
| M92/XII-9 | 7500 | 3.10 | 29.00 |  | 15.09 | 0.15 | $0.09{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.45 | 0.13 | 3 |
|  | 7479 | 3.03 | 16.45 | -2.38 | 15.09 | 0.15 |  | 0.45 | 0.13 | 5 |
| M92/B29 | 8457 | 3.35 | 14.85 | -2.40 | 15.24 | 0.13 | $0.12^{\text {a }}$ | 0.60 | 0.11 | 5 |
| M92/B30 | 7420 | 3.06 | 15.78 | -2.39 | 15.17 | 0.20 | ... | 0.53 | 0.18 | 5 |
| M92/B103 | 7365 | 3.08 | 14.14 | -2.55 | 15.24 | 0.20 |  | 0.60 | 0.18 | 5 |
| M92/B145 | 9118 | 3.12 | 21.04 | -2.19 | 14.72 | 0.18 | $0.07^{\text {a }}$ | 0.08 | 0.16 | 5 |
| M92/B148 | 8090 | 3.26 | 36.93 | -2.39 | 15.33 | 0.11 |  | 0.69 | 0.09 | 5 |
| M92/B176 | 11146 | 3.76 | 6.96 | -2.25 | 15.87 | -0.06 | $-0.02^{\text {a }}$ | 1.23 | -0.08 | 5 |
| M92/B202 | 7643 | 3.13 | 19.82 | -2.24 | 15.10 | 0.21 | $0.27^{\text {a }}$ | 0.46 | 0.19 | 5 |
| M92/B219 | 7828 | 3.18 | 34.23 | -2.35 | 15.15 | 0.18 | $0.23{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.51 | 0.16 | 5 |
| M92/B233 | 8330 | 3.33 | 28.43 | -2.20 | 15.36 | 0.08 | ... | 0.72 | 0.06 | 5 |
| M92/B246 | 7424 | 3.06 | 11.22 | -2.54 | 15.20 | 0.19 | ... | 0.56 | 0.17 | 5 |
| M92/B251 | 8338 | 3.28 | 9.37 | -2.52 | 15.22 | 0.10 | $0.16^{\text {a }}$ | 0.58 | 0.08 | 5 |
| M92/B365 | 11510 | 3.71 | 38.96 | -2.20 | 15.68 | -0.06 | ... | 1.04 | -0.08 | 5 |
| M92/B455 | 8333 | 3.29 | 14.94 | -2.44 | 15.24 | 0.08 | $\ldots$ | 0.60 | 0.06 | 5 |
| M92/B466 | 8174 | 3.27 | 9.11 | -2.42 | 15.30 | 0.09 | ... | 0.66 | 0.07 | 5 |
| M92/B516 | 8238 | 3.32 | 5.39 | -2.47 | 15.40 | 0.09 | $\ldots$ | 0.76 | 0.07 | 5 |
| M92/B527 | 9397 | 3.40 | 7.79 | -2.14 | 15.33 | 0.00 | $\ldots$ | 0.69 | -0.02 | 5 |
| NGC288/10 | 9745 | 3.42 | 11.90 | ... | 15.97 | -0.04 | $\ldots$ | 1.14 | -0.07 | 2, 6 |
| NGC288/23 | 9031 | 3.10 | 10.20 | $\ldots$ | 15.81 | 0.01 | $\ldots$ | 0.98 | -0.02 | 2, 6 |
| NGC288/28 | 10617 | 3.70 | 5.40 | $\ldots$ | 16.16 | -0.01 | $\ldots$ | 1.33 | -0.04 | 2, 6 |
| NGC288/52 | 9957 | 3.46 | 7.40 | $\ldots$ | 16.02 | -0.01 | $\ldots$ | 1.19 | -0.04 | 2, 6 |
| NGC288/177 | 9295 | 3.18 | 5.50 | $\ldots$ | 15.87 | -0.01 | $\ldots$ | 1.04 | -0.04 | 2, 6 |
| NGC288/200 | 9152 | 3.11 | 9.20 | $\ldots$ | 15.84 | 0.02 | $\ldots$ | 1.01 | -0.01 | 2, 6 |
| NGC288/213 | 9244 | 3.15 | 3.50 | $\ldots$ | 15.86 | 0.00 | $\ldots$ | 1.03 | -0.03 | 2, 6 |
| NGC288/229 | 9866 | 3.76 | 5.90 | $\ldots$ | 16.00 | -0.01 | $\ldots$ | 1.17 | -0.04 | 2 |
| NGC288/230 | 9450 | 3.36 | 8.30 | ... | 15.91 | 0.02 | $\ldots$ | 1.08 | -0.01 | 2, 6 |
| NGC288/250 | 9656 | 3.74 | 5.10 | $\ldots$ | 15.96 | 0.06 | $\ldots$ | 1.13 | 0.03 | 2 |
| NGC288/276 | 9546 | 3.25 | 9.30 | $\ldots$ | 15.93 | 0.01 | $\ldots$ | 1.10 | -0.02 | 2, 6 |
| NGC288/291 | 9621 | 3.73 | 10.10 | $\ldots$ | 15.94 | -0.06 | $\ldots$ | 1.11 | -0.09 | 2 |
| NGC288/292 | 9126 | 3.67 | 10.20 | $\ldots$ | 15.83 | -0.01 | $\ldots$ | 1.00 | -0.04 | 2 |
| NGC288/305 | 9441 | 3.71 | 9.00 | $\ldots$ | 15.90 | -0.05 | $\ldots$ | 1.07 | -0.08 | 2 |
| NGC288/306 | 10058 | 3.79 | 1.20 | ... | 16.04 | -0.03 | $\ldots$ | 1.21 | -0.06 | 2 |
| NGC288/318 | 9634 | 3.30 | 7.20 | . | 15.95 | 0.01 | $\ldots$ | 1.12 | -0.02 | 2, 6 |
| NGC288/B16 | 14033 | 4.15 | 3.08 | 0.66 | 16.57 | -0.08 | ... | 1.74 | -0.11 | 5 |
| NGC288/B22 | 12134 | 4.01 | 2.48 | 0.28 | 16.49 | -0.06 | $\ldots$ | 1.66 | -0.09 | 5 |
| NGC288/B186 | 11394 | 3.94 | 3.89 | 0.17 | 16.42 | -0.06 | $\ldots$ | 1.59 | -0.09 | 5 |
| NGC288/B302 | 13228 | 4.15 | 1.85 | 0.61 | 16.58 | -0.08 | $\ldots$ | 1.75 | -0.11 | 5 |

Table 4
(Continued)

| ID | $T_{\text {eff }}$ | $\log (g)$ | $v \sin i$ | $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ | $V$ | $(B-V)$ | Other | $M_{V}$ | $(B-V)_{0}$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC2808/2333 | 9057 | 3.66 | 5.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $16.80 ; 0.17^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| NGC2808/2445 | 7447 | 3.43 | 5.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $16.85 ; 0.29^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| NGC2808/2909 | 9226 | 3.68 | 10.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $16.90 ; 0.15^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/3159 | 12050 | 4.00 | 5.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $16.97 ;-0.16^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/3435 | 10186 | 3.80 | 11.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $16.99 ; 0.03^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/3715 | 11194 | 3.91 | 4.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $17.00 ;-0.08^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/3721 | 10375 | 3.82 | 6.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $17.09 ; 0.00^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/3841 | 10046 | 3.79 | 13.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $17.04 ; 0.04^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/3949 | 9840 | 3.76 | 8.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $17.08 ; 0.07^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/4512 | 11940 | 3.99 | 8.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $17.14 ;-0.15^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/4991 | 10940 | 3.89 | 5.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $17.29 ;-0.06^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/6427 | 15311 | 4.28 | 6.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $17.57 ;-0.37^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/7596 | 28379 | 5.01 | 6.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $17.71 ;-0.79^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/9432 | 23067 | 4.77 | 6.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $18.22 ;-0.67^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/9655 | 18923 | 4.53 | 7.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $18.29 ;-0.53^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| NGC2808/11222 | 20606 | 4.63 | 6.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $18.37 ;-0.59^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |

Notes.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}(V-I)$ Johnson system.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} u,(u-y)$ Strömgren system.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ F555W, (F439W-F555W) Strömgren system.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}(U-V)$ Johnson system.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}} U,(U-B)$ Johnson system.
References. (1) Peterson 1985a; (2) Peterson et al. 1995; (3) Cohen \& McCarthy 1997; (4) Recio-Blanco et al. 2002; (5) Behr 2003a; (6) Crocker et al. 1988; (7) Moehler et al. 1995; (8) Fabbian et al. 2005.
with $T_{\text {eff }}<11,500 \mathrm{~K}$ ), the GC HB sample is entirely comprised of blue HB stars.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the distribution of $v \sin i$ along the HR diagram for metal-poor stars. This figure shows that most of these stars present low values of $v \sin i$, and that these slow rotators are located along all evolutionary stages, from the MS to the HB. Several stars with high $v \sin i$ are mainly located on the HB. However, we can identify some fast rotators that are not on the HB, but which were rather cataloged as MS stars by Behr (2003b). We will discuss these stars in more detail in Section 3.1. In addition, the RGB stars present almost exclusively low values of $v \sin i$, with a single exception.

We have separated our sample into three different groups, where the stars are organized by iron abundance, in order to identify possible systematic differences in the behavior of $v \sin i$ for the different metallicities considered here. The first group, FE1, is formed by 52 stars with $-1.0<[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \leqslant-0.5$; the second group, FE2, presents 236 stars with $-2.0 \leqslant$ $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]<-1.0$; and the last group, FE 3 , presents 130 stars with $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \leqslant-2.0$. There are also 19 HB stars with unknown metallicities and 22 metal-poor HB stars affected by radiative levitation $(-0.5<[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]<+0.75)$. We show the histograms of $v \sin i$ of FE1, FE2, and FE3 groups in Figure 2, where we can see that the distributions are very similar. In particular, the range in $v \sin i$ values is closely the same for all groups. Also, the fraction of stars contained in the interval $0.0 \leqslant v \sin i$ $<15.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is $0.83,0.84$, and 0.80 for the FE1, FE2, and FE3 groups, respectively. We stress that FE2 and FE3 groups present particularly similar $v \sin i$ distributions, with the histograms presenting closely the same shapes and peak locations; note that these two groups contain most of the stars in our sample. On the other hand, the distribution of $v \sin i$ for group FE1 presents


Figure 1. Distribution of $v \sin i$ on the HR diagram for the stars in our sample. The panels represent different $v \sin i$ intervals as indicated. The main evolutionary stages are also schematically indicated. Note that slow rotators can be found throughout the HR diagram, whereas the fast rotators are found almost exclusively over a restricted range in HB temperatures.


Figure 2. Histograms of $v \sin i$ as a function of $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ for the stars in our stellar sample. Groups FE1, FE2, and FE3 are shown (see text). The $v \sin i$ distribution does not appear to present a significant dependence on metallicity.
a higher peak at $2.5 \leqslant v \sin i<5.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ than that of the other groups: indeed, the fraction of stars in this $v \sin i$ interval is $0.56,0.26$, and 0.28 for $\mathrm{FE} 1, \mathrm{FE} 2$, and FE 3 , respectively. Figure 3 shows the values of surface gravities $\log (g)$ and $v \sin i$ for the same groups. This figure reveals that the differences in the $v \sin i$ distributions are related to the number of stars in the different evolutionary stages, the stars in the FE2 and FE3 groups covering all values of $\log (g)$ and being located in all evolutionary stages, whereas most of the stars contained in the FE1 group present high values of $\log (g)$ and are mainly located on the MS. However, a few FE1 stars are located on the RGB and the HB and these evolved stars present the same rotational behavior as do the stars in the FE2 and FE3 groups at a similar evolutionary stage. This suggests that metallicity is not an important parameter defining stellar rotation-or, at least, we do not identify important differences in the rotational behavior between the metallicity groups considered here. Certainly, more observations are required to analyze the behavior of the rotation in RGB and HB stars, especially over the metallicity range $-1.0<[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \leqslant-0.5$, in order to corroborate the suggested mild dependence of $v \sin i$ with $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$.

Note, on the other hand, that there are some observational biases in the available field star samples. Mainly, the observed stars with measurements of $v \sin i$ tend to be bright in the sky, and their parallaxes show that they are indeed found mainly in the solar neighborhood - which can be understood because, in order to obtain reliable measurements of $v \sin i$, a high signal-to-noise ratio ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ) and medium-to-high spectral resolution are required. The low apparent magnitude is an observational constraint that can, in principle, also cause bias. In fact, the distribution of metallicities can be affected by choosing stars with low magnitudes; note, in particular, that the stars in our sample have mostly $V \leqslant 12$, and there are only two stars with $V \sim 14$. Although several works have been developed to search for differences in the rotational behavior as a function of position in the Milky Way (e.g., De Medeiros et al. 2000), there is no strong evidence showing important differences in the stellar rotation as a function of the Galactic latitude or the


Figure 3. $v \sin i$ distribution as a function of surface gravity $\log (g)$ for different metallicity intervals. The lower panel represents a zoom around the low-v $\sin i$ region shown in the upper plot. The $v \sin i$ distribution for a given $\log (g)$ does not appear to show a significant dependence on metallicity.
distance from the Galactic center. However, the studies carried out so far have focused on relatively bright stars. When future studies consider a representative sample both in magnitudes and Galactic positions, we should be in a position to derive stronger constraints on the behavior of $v \sin i$ as a function of position in our galaxy. We thus warn the reader that our study may suffer from such a source of bias, which however will only be possible to reliably quantify when significantly enlarged samples become available.

### 3.1. Main Sequence and Turn-off Stars

The distribution of $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ for MS stars in the present sample is shown in Figure 4 (upper left panel). There are 51 MS and turn-off stars in our working sample, distributed across the three metallicity groups (FE1, FE2, and FE3) described above according to the following percentages: $50.1 \%$ ( 26 stars), $41.1 \%$ ( 21 stars), and $7.8 \%$ ( 4 stars), respectively. Figure 3 shows that MS stars in different metallicity groups present similar rotational behavior. This is especially clear for groups FE1 and FE2.

The $v \sin i$ distribution of our metal-poor MS stars shows that most of the hydrogen core burning stars are slow rotators (see Figure 4, left lower panel). However, we also note that there are a few stars with high $v \sin i$ values. Figure 5 shows the projected rotational velocity $v \sin i$ as a function of $\log \left(T_{\text {eff }}\right)$ (upper panel) and the corresponding cumulative $v \sin i$ distribution (lower panel). The upper panel shows that there is a clear relation between $v \sin i$ and $T_{\text {eff }}$, which may also be partly understood as a relation between $v \sin i$ and stellar mass. We can see clearly that the hotter (or more massive) MS stars present a spread in $v \sin i$ values, with values reaching up to a few hundred $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The cooler (or less massive) MS stars, on the other hand, present low $v \sin i$ values only. More specifically, $74.5 \%$ (38 stars) of them present $0.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}<v \sin i \leqslant 15.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 7.8 \%$ (four stars) present $15.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}<v \sin i \leqslant 50.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $17.7 \%$ (nine stars) present $v \sin i>50.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Many studies have been conducted over the years in order to understand the rotational behavior of stars in the MS phase (e.g., Wilson 1966; Kraft 1967; Smith 1979; Soderblom 1983; Stauffer et al. 1984, 1985; Melo et al. 2001). These works found that the metal-rich MS stars can present high values of $v \sin i$, but these values are primarily linked with stellar ages and masses. Skumanich (1972) and Pace \& Pasquini (2004) found


Figure 4. Histograms of $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ (upper panel) and $v \sin i$ (lower panel) for field stars on the MS, the RGB, and the HB (from left to right). HB stars whose abundances are affected by radiative levitation are not included. There are clearly strong differences between the $v \sin i$ distributions for RGB and HB stars.


Figure 5. Upper panel: $v \sin i$ values for the field main sequence stars as a function of $T_{\text {eff }}$. Lower panel: cumulative $v \sin i$ distribution for MS stars.
some observational laws for describing the evolution of the rotation velocity in low-mass stars, where the evolved metal-rich MS stars present low values of $v \sin i$. However, these studies focused on metal-rich stars in the field and several open clusters, and no similar work has ever been conducted in the metal-poor regime. In this context, it is very important to verify whether the empirical laws discovered by the quoted authors remain valid at low metallicity.

Considering that the stars with high $v \sin i$ values all have $\log \left(T_{\text {eff }}\right) \geqslant 3.825$ (and are thus relatively massive), and assuming that the quoted observational laws describing the evolution of rotation velocities can be extrapolated to the metal-poor domain, imply that the fast rotating MS stars in our sample are predominantly young. Still, the possibility that these stars present older ages is also worth discussing. In fact, their position in the HR diagram may lead one to question the evolutionary stage assigned to these fast rotators. In this sense, if these stars belonged to the HB, we should definitely expect to find the chemical patterns characteristic of radiative levitation and gravitational diffusion, including supersolar $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ values for stars with $T_{\text {eff }}>11,500\left[\log \left(T_{\text {eff }}\right) \geqslant 4.06\right]$. However, these stars are all metal poor, thus rendering this possibility unlikely.
Another possibility is that some of these stars could be hypervelocity stars ejected from the Galactic center, since high rotation velocities have recently been measured for some such stars (López-Morales \& Bonanos 2008). However, none of the stars in our sample appears to be a hypervelocity star. Perhaps a more realistic explanation could be that at least some of these stars are actually field blue straggler (BS) stars, particularly because at least some such stars are also fast rotators (e.g., Ryan et al. 2002). Such a possibility cannot be discarded, because the BSs present similar physical parameters as the hot MS stars in our sample.

On the other hand, for MS stars with $\log \left(T_{\text {eff }}\right) \leqslant 3.825$ (or less massive), the $v \sin i$ values are low. We compare the values of $v \sin i$ for these stars with the values found in GCs. The average $v \sin i$ for field stars is $\langle v \sin i\rangle=5.18 \pm 1.66 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Lucatello \& Gratton (2003) found in the GCs NGC 104 (47 Tuc), NGC 6397 , and NGC 6752 an upper limit of $3.5 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the $v \sin i$ of turn-off stars (specifically, they found $4.0 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, $3.1 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $3.6 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for 47 Tuc, NGC 6397 , and NGC 6752, respectively). For our sample, the mean value of $v \sin i$ is higher than the upper limit found in the GCs. We note that this is not strong evidence of differences or similarities in the rotational behavior of stars in field and clusters because of the paucity of both samples. Nevertheless, if the Skumanich (1972) law can describe the rotation of the metal-poor stars, the difference between the mean value found here and the mean value given by Lucatello \& Gratton could result from a difference in ages for the stars in the field and the GCs, since stars in GCs are generally older than those in the field. On the other hand, the positions of the stars of Lucatello \& Gratton in the color-magnitude diagram show that the stars in NGC 6397 and NGC 6752 are on the turn-off (Gratton et al. 2001), while the stars in 47 Tuc are just above the turn-off point (Carretta et al. 2004). Interestingly, Melo et al. (2001) found that stars just above the turn-off point in M 67 (NGC 2682) present a reduction in rotation velocities, with stars below the turn-off presenting $v \sin i$ values almost $50 \%$ higher. The possibility that age effects may also be present cannot be excluded, since it has been suggested that 47 Tuc may be slightly younger than NGC 6397 or NGC 6752 (e.g., Gratton et al. 2003).

### 3.2. Subgiant and Red Giant Branch Stars

The central upper panel of Figure 4 shows that the $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ distribution of the SGB and RGB stars considered in our stellar sample closely resembles a normal distribution. There are 131 stars in this stage, distributed into FE1, FE2, and FE3 groups in the following proportions, respectively: $15.3 \%$ ( 20 stars), $46.6 \%$ (61 stars), and 38.2\% (50 stars).

The histogram of $v \sin i$ for SGB and RGB stars (see Figure 4, central lower panel) shows that most of these stars with expanding photospheres are slow rotators. In Figure 6 we show $v \sin i$ as a function of effective temperature for these stars (upper panel), where we have included both single stars (open circles) and stars in confirmed binary systems (filled circles). This figure also includes the recent measurements of $v \sin i$ in RGB stars by Carney et al. (2008), where we have included the single RGB stars (open inverted triangles) and the confirmed binary systems (filled inverted triangles). The cumulative $v \sin i$ distribution for all SGB and RGB stars is also presented (lower panel). Most SGB and RGB stars present low $v \sin i$ values ( $v \sin i \leqslant 15.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). The RGB stars in binary systems present similar rotational behavior, for a given $T_{\text {eff }}$, to the single RGB stars. There is a single binary system presenting high rotation ( $19.4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), namely star $\mathrm{CD}-37^{\circ} 14010$, which has an orbital eccentricity $e=0.058$ and an orbital period $T=62.55$ days (Carney et al. 2003). These orbital parameters suggest that this star is synchronized, thus explaining its enhanced rotation.

Another important result is that the stars pass along the RGB with $v \sin i$ values that seem to remain practically constant (Figure 6). This feature was also found in stars in the field and open clusters (Pasquini et al. 2000; Melo et al. 2001). Naturally, if these stars conserve angular momentum, the expansion in their radius should produce a reduction of the $v \sin i$ value at


Figure 6. Upper panel: $v \sin i$ values for the field RGB stars as a function of $T_{\text {eff }}$. The open circles represent single RGB stars, whereas the filled black circles represent binary systems. Binary systems present a similar behavior as single RGB stars. The stars of Carney et al. (2008) are also presented, with the open triangles representing single RGB stars in their sample and the filled black triangles representing binary systems studied by these authors. Lower panel: cumulative $v \sin i$ distribution for the RGB stars.
the surface. In addition, the rotation should slow down due to the angular momentum that is lost as the star loses mass when it approaches the RGB tip. However, the RGB stars in our sample do not show this feature, i.e., they do not slow down as they approach the RGB tip, also reported by Carney et al. (2008). As a matter of fact, if one considers solely the Carney et al. (2008) sample, one is led to conclude that the stars arriving at the tip of the RGB may even present an enhanced rotation (see inverted triangles in Figure 6). However, this enhancement does not become apparent when data from other studies are also incorporated, as can also be seen from Figure 6. More data for stars close to the RGB tip are needed to conclusively settle this issue.

The mean values of $v \sin i$ are also calculated for the single stars and the binary systems, and we found that these values are $\langle v \sin i\rangle=4.79 \pm 1.75 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\langle v \sin i\rangle=6.24 \pm$ $4.35 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively. When we do not take into account the $\mathrm{CD}-37^{\circ} 14010$ star, the mean value of the binary system is $\langle v \sin i\rangle=5.14 \pm 1.89 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. If the stars of Carney et al. (2008) are not considered, we obtain $\langle v \sin i\rangle=4.98 \pm 1.63 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\langle v \sin i\rangle=5.33 \pm 1.87 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the single stars and the binary systems (without the $\mathrm{CD}-37^{\circ} 14010$ star), respectively.


Figure 7. Histograms of $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}], v \sin i$, and $T_{\text {eff }}$ for horizontal branch (HB) stars (from left to right panels). Field HB stars are indicated by black lines, and HB stars in GCs by gray lines. While the $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ histograms are very different, their $v \sin i$ distributions look very similar (see Figure 8).

### 3.3. Horizontal Branch Stars

The right upper panel of Figure 4 shows the distribution of $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ for the field HB stars. There are 70 stars in this stage in our sample. These have $5.7 \%$ ( 4 stars), $68.6 \%$ ( 48 stars), and $25.7 \%$ ( 18 stars) percentages of HB stars in FE1, FE2, and FE3 groups, respectively.

The histogram of $v \sin i$ for field HB stars (see Figure 4, right lower panel) shows that the helium core burning stars cannot be generally considered slow rotators, because they present a spread of $v \sin i$ values. In spite of the fact that HB stars present a narrow range in masses (from about 0.5 to $1.0 M_{\odot}$ ), they show $v \sin i$ values up to several tens of $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. This characteristic cannot be straightforwardly explained in terms of canonical stellar evolution models, because their ancestors (RGB stars and low-mass MS stars), as noted in previous sections, are mainly slow rotators.

In order to observe the differences or similarities in the behavior of the rotation between HB stars in the field and GCs, we have compared the values for field stars with those for stars in the GCs NGC 288, NGC 2808, M 3, M 4, M 13, M 15, M 68, M 79, M 80, and M 92. For stars without individual iron abundances and $T_{\text {eff }}<11,500 \mathrm{~K}$, we assume the typical GC values (see Table 2). The HB stars in M 4 do not present $T_{\text {eff }}$ measurements, but their $(B-V)$ colors point to $T_{\text {eff }}<11,500 \mathrm{~K}$. The percentages of star are $1.0 \%$ (two stars), $51.2 \%$ ( 106 stars), and $28.0 \%$ ( 58 stars) in FE1, FE2, and FE3 groups, respectively. Note that $10.6 \%$ (22 stars) present enhanced metallicity ( $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]>-0.5$ ), due to radiative levitation, and $9.2 \%$ (19 stars) do not have $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ measurements and are hotter than the Grundahl jump, with $T_{\text {eff }}>11,500 \mathrm{~K}$.

Figure 7 shows the histograms of $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}], v \sin i$, and $T_{\text {eff }}$ for stars in the field and GCs contained in our sample. Apart from the discreet nature of the $\mathrm{GC}[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ distribution, there are no outstanding differences between the histograms for the field and GC samples. In addition, the GC distribution clearly reveals the presence of stars with enhanced iron abundances, as produced by the effects of metal levitation. As previously noted, HB stars with $T_{\text {eff }} \geqslant 11,500 \mathrm{~K}$ are affected by radiative levitation and gravitational settling; thus, the amount of iron and other heavy elements in their atmospheres is strongly enhanced (e.g., Grundahl et al. 1999; Moehler et al. 1999, 2000; Fabbian et al. 2005; Pace et al. 2006; and references therein). However, this effect cannot be noted among the field stars in our sample, because there are important differences in the $T_{\text {eff }}$ distribution


Figure 8. $v \sin i$ values for the HB stars as a function of metallicity and $T_{\text {eff }}$. As in Figure 1, the symbols represent different intervals of $v \sin i$. Field HB stars are indicated by black open symbols, whereas HB stars in Galactic GCs are shown by gray open symbols. The different groups of HB stars (hot HB, blue HB , red HB ) and the discontinuity in $v \sin i$ values and $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$, produced by the Grundahl jump, are readily apparent.
of both samples (see Figure 7, right panel). Our sample of field stars comprises blue and red HB stars, and no stars present $T_{\text {eff }}>10,500 \mathrm{~K}$, whereas the stars in the GC sample are blue HB stars only. To be sure, Behr (2003b) does list a few HB stars with higher temperatures, but they all have, probably as a consequence of radiative levitation, high metallicities. Since we are unable to infer these stars' original metallicities, we do not include them in our sample of field HB stars. In the case of GCs, the original metallicities of the HB stars showing radiative levitation effects can be easily determined, since they should-at least in monometallic clusters-be basically identical to those of stars in the same GCs that are not affected by radiative levitation.

Figure 8 shows the rotational velocities of the HB stars as a function of temperature and $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$. We can see three different groups, characterized by different distributions in $v \sin i,[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$, and $T_{\text {eff }}$. We can identify these groups as the hot HB (i.e., HB stars hotter than the Grundahl jump), the blue HB (cooler than the jump), and the red HB. The hot HB stars present $T_{\text {eff }} \geqslant 11,500 \mathrm{~K}$ and high values of $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ (again due to radiative levitation). The blue HB stars present $7200 \mathrm{~K}<T_{\text {eff }}$ $<11,500 \mathrm{~K}$, and the red HB stars have $T_{\text {eff }}$ lower than 6300 K .


Figure 9. Upper panel: $v \sin i$ as a function of $T_{\text {eff }}$ for HB stars. Black circles represent field HB stars, gray circles the HB stars in Galactic GCs, and the inverted triangles the field red HB stars. Lower panel: the corresponding cumulative $v \sin i$ distribution, where the two stars with unusually high rotation velocities ( $v \sin i \gtrsim 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) were not included; see text). The solid gray line represents the distribution of blue HB stars in GCs, the solid black line represents the distribution of blue HB stars in the field, and the dash-dotted line represents the distribution of field red HB stars.

Also shown is the instability strip, where the RR Lyrae stars are located. Figure 9 presents the values of $v \sin i$ as a function of $T_{\text {eff }}$ (upper panel) and the cumulative $v \sin i$ distribution (lower panel) for the different groups of HB stars.

As proposed by Vink \& Cassisi (2002), hot HB stars may lose mass, and such mass loss by stellar winds could also lead to a loss in angular momentum and thus a reduction in rotation velocities, thus explaining their low rotation velocities (see Figure 8) of the HB stars hotter than the Grundahl jump (Sweigart 2002). Also, the strong element gradients in the atmospheres of these stars can inhibit angular momentum transport, thus also preventing these stars from becoming fast rotators, even if they are able to preserve a rapidly rotating core (Sills \& Pinsonneault 2000). On the other hand, the stellar wind is predicted to become very weak in stars with $T_{\text {eff }}<10,000 \mathrm{~K}$. Indeed, in Figure 9 we can see that the values of $v \sin i$ for the blue HB stars tend to
be higher when $T_{\text {eff }}<10,000 \mathrm{~K}$, whereas the stars with $T_{\text {eff }}$ $>10,000 \mathrm{~K}$ present low $v \sin i$ values. Figure 9 also shows that the red HB stars are, mainly, slow rotators, thus suggesting an overall dependence of $v \sin i$ with $T_{\text {eff }}$ (Carney et al. 2008).

Note that there are two stars classified as HB stars with $v \sin i$ values much higher than for the other fast HB rotators, namely $\mathrm{BD}+01^{\circ} 0514$ and $\mathrm{BD}+30^{\circ} 2355$, which present $v \sin i=$ $137.9 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $97.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively. Behr (2003b) suggested that $\mathrm{BD}+01^{\circ} 0514$ is an RR Lyrae star, but to the best of our knowledge a variability analysis is not yet available for this star; additionally, RR Lyrae stars are known to be slow rotators (Peterson et al. 1996). BD $+30^{\circ} 2355$ was, in turn, cataloged by Behr as a post-HB star. In view of their atypical rotation velocities, we suggest that neither of these stars is an HB or post-HB star, being more likely MS stars. Until this issue is resolved, these stars will not be considered in the following analysis.

We analyze the $v \sin i$ distribution of the blue (stars with $7200 \mathrm{~K}<T_{\text {eff }}<11,500 \mathrm{~K}$ in the field and the GCs) and red groups of HB stars (see the lower panel of Figure 9). In these groups we found $\langle v \sin i\rangle=11.46 \pm 8.63,12.81 \pm$ $8.63,7.55 \pm 4.38 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for blue HB stars in the field and the GCs, and red HB stars in the field, respectively. Specifically, we found $\langle v \sin i\rangle_{\mathrm{FE} 1}=16.03 \pm 14.69 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1},\langle v \sin i\rangle_{\mathrm{FE} 2}=$ $11.91 \pm 9.07 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $\langle v \sin i\rangle_{\mathrm{FE} 3}=8.96 \pm 4.39 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for blue HB in the field; $\langle v \sin i\rangle_{\mathrm{FE} 2}=12.22 \pm 7.99 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\langle v \sin i\rangle_{\mathrm{FE} 3}=14.45 \pm 9.70 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for blue HB in the GCs (no blue HB stars in FE1 group); and $\langle v \sin i\rangle_{\mathrm{FE} 1}=$ $5.65 \pm 0.64 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1},\langle v \sin i\rangle_{\mathrm{FE} 2}=7.44 \pm 4.27 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $\langle v \sin i\rangle_{\mathrm{FE} 3}=8.17 \pm 5.14 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for red HB in the field. Note that the mean values of $v \sin i$ for the blue HB star groups are very similar. The cumulative $v \sin i$ distributions reveal differences between the field and the GCs. However, this is not a strong result, and we should also keep in mind the relative paucity of the field star sample, with only 36 blue HB stars. Moreover, the red and blue HB stars present different distributions, with the red HB stars presenting lower $v \sin i$ than the blue ones. We have applied the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test to the distributions of $v \sin i$ of blue HB stars (field stars and stars in GCs). We found that the maximum distance between both distributions is $D_{\max }=0.166$ and the probability that both distributions come from the same parent distribution is $P_{\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{S}}=94.5 \%$, thus suggesting that the environment has not played a strong role in shaping the overall rotation distribution for HB stars (Behr 2003b). However, this does not rule out the possibility that, for some individual GCs, the rotation behavior has been markedly different than that for field HB stars and other GCs alike.

A very interesting property that is shared between blue HB stars in the field and GCs is that in both groups the fraction of fast rotators is similar: approximately $31 \%$ and $25 \%$ of the blue HB stars have rotation velocities $v \sin i>15.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the field and the GCs, respectively. We would like to remind the reader that the differences in the $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ distributions between field and GC stars do not affect the corresponding $v \sin i$ distribution (see Figure 7, center panel). On the other hand, the $v \sin i$ distribution of red HB stars is again markedly different from the distributions of the hot and blue HB stars, with the amount of fast rotators being only about $6 \%$ (but again we remark that the number of stars in the red HB sample is quite small). Obviously, observations of enlarged samples are required to understand the behavior of rotation as a function of temperature along the HB . In this sense, it would be very interesting to derive rotation


Figure 10. Color-magnitude diagram in the Johnson and the Strömgren systems for HB stars in the Galactic GCs M 92, M 15, M 68, M 3, M 13, M 79, NGC 288, and M 4. HB stars with $v \sin i$ measurements are shown by open circles, the sizes of the circles representing different intervals of $v \sin i$ (see Figure 1). The ZAHB, the TAHB, and evolutionary tracks for several different mass values are also shown (see text). The locus of the Grundahl jump is presented in each panel using a black arrow, and in each panel the points in the evolutionary tracks with $T_{\text {eff }}=11,500 \mathrm{~K}$ (Grundahl et al. 1999) are connected by a line.
velocities for a large sample of RR Lyrae stars, in a temperature regime intermediate between the red and blue HB stars, but for which currently very little data are available, suggesting, however, little or no rotation (e.g., Peterson et al. 1996).

Recio-Blanco et al. (2002) suggested that there is no correlation between the value of $v \sin i$ and the evolutionary stage on the HB. If confirmed, this would have important implications for our understanding of angular momentum evolution in HB stars, since most theoretical studies suggest that angular momentum loss and transport should be important in explaining the lack of fast rotators among stars hotter than the Grundahl jump, and likewise possibly their presence among blue HB stars cooler than this limit (e.g., Sills \& Pinsonneault 2000; Sweigart 2002).
In order to analyze this suggestion, we have obtained, from different sources in the literature, the Johnson and Strömgren
photometries for the stars with $v \sin i$ measurements in the GCs NGC 288, M 3 (NGC 5272), M 4 (NGC 6121), M 13 (NGC 6205), M 15 (NGC 7078), M 68 (NGC 4590), M 79 (NGC 1904), and M 92 (NGC 6341; see Table 4). We have derived the absolute magnitude in both photometric systems using the distance modules and reddening values compiled by Harris (1996). For the case of M 79, the absolute magnitude $u$ and the unreddened colors $(u-y)_{0}$ in the Strömgren system were calculated using $(m-M)_{u}=15.6$ and $E(u-y)=1.89 E(B-V)($ Crawford \& Mandwewala 1976; Clem et al. 2004). We have transformed the evolutionary tracks and ZAHB sequences to the observational planes following the procedures described by Catelan et al. (2004) and Cortés \& Catelan (2008). The evolutionary models used in this study are the same as those computed by Catelan et al. (1998) and Sweigart \& Catelan (1998). We have chosen the
evolutionary tracks for different masses with metal abundances $Z=0.0020,0.0010$, and 0.0005 (with MS helium abundance $Y_{\mathrm{MS}}=23 \%$ ), since these values of $Z$ adequately cover the metallicities of the GCs considered in our analysis. To transform these $Z$ values into $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$, we have used Equation (2) of Cortés \& Catelan (2008), based on the scaling relation of Salaris et al. (1993) for $[\alpha / \mathrm{Fe}]=0.3$, as typically found among halo stars (e.g., Pritzl et al. 2005 and references therein). Specifically, the evolutionary tracks with $Z=0.0020^{8}$ were used for the NGC 288 and M4 GCs, the evolutionary tracks with $Z=0.0010^{9}$ for the M 3, M 13, and M 79 GCs, and the evolutionary tracks with $Z=0.0005^{10}$ for the M 92, M 15, and M 68 GCs. No attempt was made to properly model the stars hotter than the Grundahl jump at $11,500 \mathrm{~K}$; the reader is thus warned that these models cannot be used to reliably describe the $u$-band magnitudes of these stars (Grundahl et al. 1999).

Figure 10 shows the stars with measurements of $v \sin i$ in the different GCs, with the ZAHBs, TAHBs (terminal-age HBs, or helium core exhaustion locus), and the referred evolutionary tracks overplotted. There is no strong evidence that $v \sin i$ is linked with the evolutionary stage of stars. Note, in particular, that in several clusters, perhaps most notably M13 and M92, we clearly find stars with high $v \sin i$ values very close to the ZAHB. Conversely, in several clusters we can also see slow rotators close to the ZAHB. This suggests that either these stars arrived at the ZAHB displaying rotation rates very similar to their current values, or else that angular momentum loss and redistribution may operate extremely fast once the stars reach the ZAHB.

In summary, we find that the values of $v \sin i$ for the HB stars are not correlated with the evolutionary distance from the ZAHB. However, enlarged samples of low-metallicity HB stars with accurately measured $v \sin i$ values are needed to put this result on a firmer footing.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have performed a careful compilation of $v \sin i$ values for metal-poor stars $([\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]<-0.5)$ covering different evolutionary stages (MS, RGB, and HB) from the literature. Our sample includes stars both in the field and in Galactic GCs. We also gathered metallicity and photometric data for these stars and present all data in the form of extensive tabulations. We have conducted a preliminary analysis of these data, and our main conclusions are as follows.

The distribution of $v \sin i$ in the HR diagram shows that the slow rotators are distributed in all evolutionary stages, from the MS to the HB. The fast rotators are concentrated in the HB, suggesting that the HB stars somehow acquired angular momentum in the previous phase (the RGB), or else that RGB stars preserved rapidly spinning cores and were later, either during the pre-ZAHB phase or on the HB proper, able to transfer angular momentum to the stellar surface. However, an analysis of evolutionary differences in $v \sin i$ values along the HB phase for eight different GCs reveals little or no dependence of $v \sin i$ on the evolutionary phase, thus suggesting that any angular momentum transport or losses in the HB phase must either

[^2]operate very quickly close to the ZAHB or be very inefficient during the HB phase.

HB stars in the field and GCs do not reveal important differences in their rotational behavior, thus suggesting that the environment does not affect the rotational behavior of these stars in an important way. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that some individual GCs will have a peculiar stellar rotation behavior driven by environmental effects. In addition, sample sizes remain relatively small, and it would certainly be important to derive $v \sin i$ for enlarged samples of stars, in the field and GCs alike, to put these results on a firmer footing. It would be particularly interesting to derive $v \sin i$ for a large sample of RR Lyrae stars, for which very few measurements are currently available, and yet there is a puzzling indication of very little (or no) rotation (e.g., Peterson et al. 1996).

We also find that, while our field and GC samples have markedly different metallicity distributions, such differences are not reflected upon marked differences in their corresponding $v \sin i$ distributions. It, thus, appears that metallicity is not a relevant parameter affecting the overall $v \sin i$ distribution, at least in the low-metallicity regime studied in this work.

RGB stars in the Carney et al. (2008) sample reveal some intriguing evidence of spinning up as they approach the RGB tip. However, when we incorporate data for bright RGB stars from other sources, we do not find any significant variation in $v \sin i$ with evolutionary stage along the RGB.
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