ON SCHWARZ-CHRISTOFFEL MAPPINGS

MARTIN CHUAQUI AND CHRISTIAN POMMERENKE

ABSTRACT. We extend previous work on Schwarz-Chrsitoffel mappings, including the special cases when the image is a convex polygon or its complement. We center our analysis on the relationship between the pre-Schwrazian of such mappings and Blaschke products. For arbitrary Schwarz-Christoffel mappings, we resolve an open question in [6] that relates the degrees of the associated Blaschke products with the number of convex and concave vertices of the polygon. In addition, we obtain a sharp sufficient condition in terms of the exterior angles for the injectivity of a mapping given by the Schwarz-Christoffel formula, and study the geometric interplay between the location of the zeros of the Blaschke products and the separation of the pre-vertices.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to provide further information about Schwarz-Christoffel mappings that add to the results obtained in [5], [6]. We refer the reader to [4] for related interesting work on concave functions.

Let f be a Schwarz-Christoffel mapping of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ onto the interior of an $(n+1)$-gon. In other words, f is a conformal map onto a domain in the extended complex plane whose boundary consists of finitely many line segments, rays or lines. In [6], it is shown that the pre-Schwarzian of f has the form

$$(1.1) \qquad \frac{f''}{f'} = \frac{2B_1/B_2}{1 - zB_1/B_2}$$

for some finite Blaschke products B_1, B_2 without common zeros, with respective degrees d_1, d_2 satisfying $d_1 + d_2 = n$. The polygon is convex if and only if $d_2 = 0$ (see also [5]). The representation for f''/f' is obtained from the well-known formula for such mappings,

$$(1.2) \qquad \frac{f''}{f'} = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{\beta_k}{z - z_k},$$

where z_k are the pre-vertices and $2\pi\beta_k$ are the exterior angles with $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \beta_k = 1$. We remind the reader of the relation $2\pi\beta_k = \pi - \alpha_k$ between an exterior angle and the interior angle α_k at a given vertex. The Schwarz-Christoffel formula remains valid for polygons with one vertex at infinity. In this case the angle between the sides at infinity is, by definition, the angle (with minus sign) between the relevant sides (of their continuations) at a finite point.

As a consequence of equations (1.1) and (1.2), the pre-vertices are shown to be the roots of the equation

$$(1.3) \qquad\qquad zB_1(z)/B_2(z) = 1 \, .$$

It is interesting that (1.3) corresponds to a polynomial equation of degree $n+1$ for which all roots are simple and lie on $|z| = 1$. This is a particular feature of the pair of Blaschke products B_1, B_2 appearing from Schwarz-Christoffel mappings. Note that the topological degree of zB_1/B_2 on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is $1+d_1-d_2$, so that zB_1/B_2 must be traversing in the negative sense at many of the pre-vertices. In fact, as the proof of Theorem 2 shows, at a pre-vertex z_k, zB_1/B_2 is traversing $\partial\mathbb{D}$ in the positive or negative sense according to whether $f(z_k)$ is a convex or a concave vertex. In is also interesting to observe that when $d_2 = 0$, any solution of (1.1) will result in a univalent mapping because $1 + \mathrm{Re}\{zf''/f'\} \geq 0$. In this paper we answer the natural question of finding a geometric interpretation for the degree d_2, and show that this integer coincides with the number of concave vertices of the polygon.

In Section 2, we also address the case of Schwarz-Christoffel mappings f onto the exterior of an $(n+2)$-gon, with the normalization $f(0) = \infty$. In [6], we showed that the pre-Schwarzian of such a mapping is given by

$$(1.4) \qquad\qquad z\frac{f''}{f'} = \frac{2}{z^2(B_1/B_2) - 1} \, ,$$

for finite Blaschke products B_1, B_2 without common zeros, with degrees d_1, d_2, respectively, for which $d_1 + d_2 = n$. The polygon is convex if and only if $d_2 = 0$ and, as before, we show in this paper that d_2 is equal to the number of concave vertices of the polygon.

Another issue we address in this paper is the question of when a solution of (1.2), or equivalently, of

$$f'(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (z - z_k)^{-2\beta_k} \, , \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \beta_k = 1 \, ,$$

does indeed correspond to a *univalent* mapping. In Theorem 4 below we obtain the sharp sufficient condition $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} |\beta_k| \leq 2$ for univalence. The result is optimal in the sense that there are non-univalent solutions of (1.2) for which $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} |\beta_k|$ differs from 2 by an arbitrarily small amount.

In Section 3 we obtain results on the separation of the pre-vertices of convex or concave Schwarz-Christoffel mappings, expressed in terms of the location of the zeros $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ of the Blaschke product B_1 that appears in (1.1) or (1.4) (recall that, in this case, $d_2 = 0$). The results are sharp, and show, for example, that the pre-vertices tend to be uniformly separated on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ when all $|a_k|$ are very small. Finally, in Section 4 we derive some necessary conditions for the location of the zeros of the Blaschke products B_1, B_2 in (1.1) and (1.4) for arbitrary polygonal mappings.

2. Blaschke Products and Univalence

In [5] we revisit the classical theme of convex mappings. The starting point is observation that such mappings correspond exactly to the solutions of

$$\frac{f''}{f'} = \frac{2h}{1 - zh} \, ,$$

for some function h analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and bounded by 1. The image $f(\mathbb{D})$ is the interior of a polygon if and only if h is a finite Blaschke product. We can express h in terms of $p = f''/f'$ as

$$h = \frac{p}{2 + zp} \, ,$$

and draw the following result.

Theorem 1: *Let h be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $|h(z)| \leq 1$ everywhere. Then there exists a sequence $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite Blaschke products converging to h locally uniformly in $\mathbb{D}$.*

Proof: Let f be the convex mapping corresponding to h as above, and let Ω_n be a sequence of convex polygons converging to $f(\mathbb{D})$ in the sense of Carathéodory. Properly normalized Schwarz-Christoffel mappings f_n of $\mathbb{D}$ onto Ω_n will converge locally uniformly to f. Each mapping f_n satisfies (1.1) for a certain finite Blaschke product $B_1 = B_{1,n}$ and $B_2 = 1$. The theorem now follows by expressing $B_{1,n}$ in terms of the pre-Schwarzian of f_n.

Next, we give an answer to an important issue left unresolved in [6], namely the connection between the degrees d_1, d_2 and the number of convex and concave vertices of the polygon.

Theorem 2: *Let f map $\mathbb{D}$ onto the interior of an $(n + 1)$-gon, and let B_1, B_2 be the corresponding Blaschke products in the representation (1.1). Then d_2 is equal to the number of concave vertices, while $d_1 + 1$ is equal to the number of convex vertices.*

Proof: Let

$$(2.1) \qquad \varphi(t) = \arg\left\{ e^{it} \frac{B_1}{B_2}(e^{it}) \right\},$$

with a well-defined branch of the argument once its value has been assigned at one given vertex. In any case,

$$(2.2) \qquad \varphi'(t) = 1 + e^{it}\left(\frac{B_1'}{B_1}(e^{it}) - \frac{B_2'}{B_2}(e^{it}) \right) = 1 + |B_1'(e^{it})| - |B_2'(e^{it})|.$$

On the other hand, we see from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$\frac{B_1/B_2}{zB_1/B_2 - 1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{\beta_k}{z - z_k},$$

hence

$$(2.3) \qquad \beta_k = \lim_{z \to z_k} (z - z_k)\frac{B_1/B_2}{zB_1/B_2 - 1} = \frac{B_1/B_2}{(zB_1/B_2)'}(z_k) = \frac{1}{\varphi'(t_k)},$$

where we have written $z_k = e^{it_k}$. We say that z_k is convex or concave according to whether the polygon is convex or concave at $f(z_k)$. We conclude that $\varphi'(t_k)$ is positive at convex pre-vertices and negative at concave pre-vertices. Furthermore, the points z_k are the solutions of equation (1.3). Hence we see from (2.1) that, for all k,

$$\varphi(t_k) = 2\pi j_k, \ j_k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad , \quad \varphi(t) \neq 2\pi j, \ t \neq t_k.$$

It follows now that

$$(2.4)$$

$$\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \varphi'(t)dt = \begin{cases} 2\pi \ , & \text{when } z_k, z_{k+1} \text{ are both convex} \\ \\ 0 \ , & \text{when } z_k, z_{k+1} \text{ are one convex and one concave} \\ \\ -2\pi \ , & \text{when } z_k, z_{k+1} \text{ are both concave}. \end{cases}$$

Let a be the number of consecutive convex pre-vertices, b the number of instances a vertex of one type is followed by one of the other type, and c the number of consecutive concave pre-vertices. Then $a + b + c = n + 1$, and we see by (2.4) that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'(t)dt = 2\pi(1 + d_1 - d_2) = 2\pi(a - c).$$

Hence we have

$$1 + d_1 + d_2 = n + 1 = a + b + c \quad , \quad 1 + d_1 - d_2 = a - c.$$

We conclude that that

$$1 + d_1 = a + \frac{b}{2} \quad , \quad d_2 = c + \frac{b}{2}.$$

To obtain the theorem, we claim that $c + (b/2)$ is equal to the number of concave vertices (or pre-vertices). To see this, let $z_k, \ldots, z_l$ be any maximal chain of consecutive concave pre-vertices. Hence z_{k-1} and z_{l+1} are convex pre-vertices. The collection $z_k, \ldots, z_l$ of concave pre-vertices contributes with $l - k$ in the count of c, and with 2 in the count of b. Thus its contribution in the count of $c + (b/2)$ is exactly the number of points in the chain. This proves the claim, and completes the proof of the theorem.

Similar results hold for mappings f onto the exterior of an $(n + 2)$-gon, having the important normalization $f(0) = \infty$. For such mappings we have that

$$\frac{f''}{f'} = 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+2} \frac{\beta_k}{z - z_k} - \frac{1}{z} \right) ,$$

where, as before, z_k are the pre-vertices and $2\pi\beta_k$ are the exterior angles satisfying $-1 < \beta_k < 1$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{n+2} \beta_k = 1$. In [6], this was shown to lead to

$$z\frac{f''}{f'} = \frac{2}{z^2(B_1/B_2) - 1} ,$$

for Blaschke products B_1, B_2 of degree d_1, d_2 satisfying $d_1 + d_2 = n$. Again, the case $d_2 = 0$ corresponds exactly to when the polygon is convex. The pre-vertices appear as the solutions of the equation $z^2 B_1 = B_2$, yet no further information was provided in connection with the degrees of the Blaschke products. With a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2, one can show:

Theorem 3: *Let f map $\mathbb{D}$ onto the exterior of an $(n + 2)$-gon, and let B_1, B_2 be the corresponding Blaschke products in the representation (1.4). Then d_2 is equal to the number of concave vertices, while $d_1 + 2$ is equal to the number of convex vertices.*

Next, we address the question of the univalence of solutions of the equation (1.2).

Theorem 4: *Let $0 \le t_1 < \cdots < t_{n+1} < 2\pi$, $z_k = e^{it_k}$, $\beta_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, \ldots, n + 1$, and let*

$$(2.5) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \beta_k = 1 \quad , \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} |\beta_k| \le 2 .$$

Then the function f defined by

$$(2.6) \qquad f'(z) = a \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (z - z_k)^{-2\beta_k} , \ a \in \mathbb{C} , \ a \ne 0$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$.

Observe that there exist polygons with $\sum |\beta_k|$ arbitrarily large for which f remains univalent. For example, one can consider a polygon inscribed between two disjoint logarithmic spirals. On the other hand, once $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} |\beta_k|$ is allowed to exceed 2, then the sum of exterior angles at concave vertices will be larger than π in absolute value, making thus possible for the image $f(\mathbb{D})$ to intersect itself.

Proof: Let f be given as in (2.6) and suppose that (2.5) holds. Then f is locally injective in $\mathbb{D}$, and we will show that f is univalent there, in fact, that it is close-to-convex. Among the various equivalent formulations of this geometric property (see, *e.g.*, [7], p. 48), we will show for $0 \le \theta_1 < \theta_2 < 2\pi$, that

$$I = I(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathrm{Re} \left\{ 1 + z\frac{f''}{f'}(z) \right\} d\theta > -\pi \ , \ z = re^{i\theta} \ .$$

To prove this observe that

$$\mathrm{Re} \left\{ 1 + z\frac{f''}{f'}(z) \right\} = \sum_k \beta_k \mathrm{Re} \left\{ \frac{z_k + z}{z_k - z} \right\} = \sum_k \beta_k \frac{1 - r^2}{|z_k - z|^2} \ .$$

In trying to obtain a lower bound for I we can discard the terms with $\beta_k > 0$. For the other terms, we have that

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \frac{1 - r^2}{|z_k - re^{i\theta}|^2} d\theta < 2\pi \ ,$$

because of the properties of the Poisson kernel. Hence

$$I > 2\pi \sum_{\beta_k < 0} \beta_k \ge -\pi \ ,$$

as desired.

Example: Avkhadiev and Wirths initiated the study of the so called concave mappings, that is, univalent mappings of the disk $\mathbb{D}$ onto the complement of a convex set (see [1], [2], [3]). As an example of Theorem 4 we can consider a convex polygon P with $\infty \in P$ and the conformal mapping of $\mathbb{D}$ onto the complement of P. Let $\pi\lambda, 1 \le \lambda \le 2$, be the angle of $f(\mathbb{D})$ at ∞. It follows from [2] that

$$f'(z) = a(z - z_{n+1})^{-\lambda-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (z - z_k)^{\gamma_k} \ , \ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_k = \lambda - 1 \ ,$$

that is, $\beta_k = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\beta_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \lambda)$. Therefore we have that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} |\beta_k| = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1) = \lambda \in [1, 2] \ .$$

Next, we establish the following variant of Theorem 4.

Theorem 5: *Let f be defined by (2.6) with $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \beta_k = 1$, and suppose that*

$$(2.7) \qquad \frac{\mathrm{Im}\{f(z)\}}{\mathrm{Im}\{z\}} > 0 \text{ for } |z| \le 1 \,, \ \mathrm{Im}\,\{z\} \ne 0.$$

Let $\theta_\pm$ be the interior angles of the polygon $f(\partial\mathbb{D})$ at $f(\pm 1)$. If

$$(2.8) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} |\beta_k| \ \le \ 3 + \frac{1}{\pi} \max(\theta_+ - \pi, 0) + \frac{1}{\pi} \max(\theta_- - \pi, 0)$$

then f is univalent.

The expression in the right hand side of (2.8) lies in $[3, 5]$, and it is easy to see that any value in this range can be achieved. Therefore, Theorem 5 gives a better result than Theorem 4 under the stronger assumption (2.7). The condition (2.7) implies, in particular, that $f(\mathbb{D})$ is symmetric with respect to $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof: By (2.7) the polygon $P = f(\partial\mathbb{D})$ is symmetric with respect to $\mathbb{R}$. Hence $m := (n+1)/2 \in \mathbb{N}$. We may assume that $z_1 = 1, z_2 = -1$ in (2.6). Then

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\theta_+}{2\pi} \quad , \quad \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\theta_-}{2\pi} \,,$$

which satisfy $|\beta_1|, |\beta_2| \le \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \max(\theta_+ - \pi, 0) = \max(-2\beta_1, 0) = |\beta_1| - \beta_1 \,,$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \max(\theta_- - \pi, 0) = \max(-2\beta_2, 0) = |\beta_2| - \beta_2 \,.$$

Let $\varphi_\pm$ be the conformal mappings of $\mathbb{D}$ onto the semi-discs $\{z \in \mathbb{D} : \mathrm{Im}\,z \gtrless 0\}$ such that $\varphi_\pm(1) = 1, \varphi_\pm(-1) = -1$ and $\varphi_\pm(\pm i) = \pm i$. Then

$$(2.9) \qquad P_\pm = f(\varphi_\pm(\partial\mathbb{D})) = f(\partial\mathbb{D} \cap \{\mathrm{Im}\,z \gtrless 0\}) \cup [f(-1), f(+1)]$$

are the upper and lower parts of P union $[f(-1), f(1)]$. We may also assume that β_k , $k = 3, \ldots, m+1$, belong to the vertices of P that lie in P_+.

Let us consider the upper polygon P_+. The values γ_k of P_+ corresponding to the β_k are

$$\gamma_k = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{4} + \dfrac{1}{2}\beta_k \ge 0 \,, \ k = 1, 2 \\[2mm] \beta_k \,, \ k = 3, \ldots, m+1 \,, \end{cases}$$

(for which $\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \gamma_k = 1$.) In light of the symmetry with respect to $\mathbb{R}$ we get

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} |\gamma_k| = 1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{n+1} |\beta_k| = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} |\beta_k| - (|\beta_1| - \beta_1) - (|\beta_2| - \beta_2) \,.$$

Using (2.8) we conclude that

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} |\gamma_k| \le 4 \,,$$

and it follows from Theorem 4 that $f \circ \varphi_+$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. The same holds for $f \circ \varphi_-$.

By (2.9) we have

$$f(\mathbb{D}) = (f \circ \varphi_+)(\mathbb{D}) \cup f((-1,1)) \cup (f \circ \varphi_-)(\mathbb{D}) \,,$$

which are disjoint unions by (2.7). Hence f is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$.

3. Separation of Pre-Vertices

Let f be a Schwarz-Christoffel mapping taking $\mathbb{D}$ onto a convex $(n+1)$-gon. Recall that

$$\frac{f''}{f'}(z) = \frac{2B(z)}{1 - zB(z)} \,,$$

where $B(z)$ is a Blaschke product of degree n. We write

$$B(z) = c \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z - a_k}{1 - \overline{a_k}z} \,,$$

where $|c| = 1$ and all $|a_k| < 1$. The pre-vertices $z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1}$ correspond to the roots of the equation $zB(z) = 1$, and after rotating f, we may assume that $c = 1$. Recall also, that in this case, any choice of Blaschke product $B = B(z)$ will result in a univalent mapping f. The separation of consecutive pre-vertices z_k, z_{k+1} is to be understood as $\arg\{\overline{z}_k z_{k+1}\} \in (0, 2\pi)$.

Theorem 6: *Suppose that $|a_k| \le r < 1$ for all k. Then*

(i) The minimum separation in argument of consecutive pre-vertices is given by 2θ, where θ is the unique root in $(0, \pi/2)$ of the equation

$$(3.1) \qquad \pi = \theta + 2n \arctan\left\{ \frac{1+r}{1-r} \tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right\} \,.$$

The result is sharp. The optimal configuration occurs when $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = rc$ for some root of the equation $c^{n+1} = -1$, and the lower bound is attained for the pre-vertices

$$e^{i\theta}c \,, \; e^{-i\theta}c \,.$$

The distance between any other pair of consecutive pre-vertices will be larger.

(ii) The maximum separation in argument of consecutive pre-vertices is given by 2ψ, where ψ is the unique root in $(0, \pi)$ of the equation

$$(3.2) \qquad \pi = \psi + 2n \arctan\left\{ \frac{1-r}{1+r} \tan\left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right) \right\} \,.$$

The result is sharp. The optimal configuration occurs when $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = rd$ for some root of the equation $d^{n+1} = (-1)^n$, and the upper bound is attained for the pre-vertices

$$-e^{i\psi}d \ , \ -e^{-i\psi}d \,.$$

The distance between any other pair of consecutive pre-vertices will be larger.

Proof: We need to estimate the distance between two consecutive roots $a = e^{i\alpha}$, $b = e^{i\beta}$ of the equation $zB(z) = 1$. Because $zB(z)$ traces the boundary $\partial\mathbb{D}$ for $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ in a monotonic way, we must have from (2.2) and (2.4) that

$$(3.3) \qquad \int_\alpha^\beta \left(1 + |B'(e^{it})|\right) dt = 2\pi \,,$$

with

$$|B'(e^{it})| = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1 - |a_k|^2}{|e^{it} - a_k|^2} \,.$$

(Equation (3.3) shows that $0 < \beta - \alpha < 2\pi$.) We claim that for α, β fixed, the contribution of any single summand

$$(3.4) \qquad \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{1 - |a_k|^2}{|e^{it} - a_k|^2} dt$$

will be maximal if $|a_k| = r$ and $a_k/|a_k|$ is the midpoint c of the shorter arc joining a and b. Let $r_k = |a_k|$ and write $a_k = r_k e^{it_k}$. Then

$$\int_\alpha^\beta \frac{1 - |a_k|^2}{|e^{it} - a_k|^2} dt = \int_{\alpha - t_k}^{\beta - t_k} \frac{1 - r_k^2}{|1 - r_k e^{it}|^2} dt \,.$$

For $r_k \le r$ given, this integral is maximal when $1 \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ is the midpoint of the shorter arc between $e^{i(\alpha - t_k)}$ and $e^{i(\beta - t_k)}$. The integral is then equal to

$$\int_{-\theta}^\theta \frac{1 - r_k^2}{|1 - r_k e^{it}|^2} dt = 4\arctan\left\{\frac{1 + r_k}{1 - r_k}\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right\} \,,$$

where $2\theta = \beta - \alpha$, and becomes maximal if $r_k = r$. In other words,

$$(3.5) \qquad \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{1 - |a_k|^2}{|e^{it} - a_k|^2} dt \le 4\arctan\left\{\frac{1 + r}{1 - r}\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right\} \,,$$

which proves our claim for the contribution of any single term, and therefore the minimum separation between consecutive roots will occur if this holds for all $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Equation (3.1) follows. The analysis shows that for the extremal configuration, all $a_k = rc$ are equal and that $e^{\pm i\theta}c$ are roots of the equation $zB(z) = 1$. Because $B(c) = c$ then $cB(c) = c^{n+1}$, and since $zB(z)$ traces the arc between the two roots in symmetric fashion with respect to the midpoint, we conclude that $c^{n+1} = -1$. This proves part (i).

For part (ii), we observe that, for $r_k = |a_k|$ fixed, (3.4) will be minimal provided $-1 \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ is the midpoint of the shorter arc between $e^{i(\alpha - t_k)}$ and $e^{i(\beta - t_k)}$. The integral is then equal to

$$\int_{\pi - \psi}^{\pi + \psi} \frac{1 - r_k^2}{|1 - r_k e^{it}|^2} dt = 4 \arctan \left\{ \frac{1 - r_k}{1 + r_k} \tan \left(\frac{\psi}{2} \right) \right\} ,$$

where $2\psi = \beta - \alpha$, and becomes minimal when $r_k = r$. Thus,

$$(3.6) \qquad \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1 - |a_k|^2}{|e^{it} - a_k|^2} dt \geq 4 \arctan \left\{ \frac{1 - r}{1 + r} \tan \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right\} .$$

Therefore, the maximum separation between consecutive roots will occur if, for all $k = 1, \dots, n$, we have that $|a_k| = r$ and a_k/r is equal to the midpoint of the longer arc between a and b. From this, equation (3.2) follows. As before, the analysis of the extremal configuration gives $a_k = rd$ for all k. The points $-e^{\pm i\psi}d$ are roots of $zB(z) = 1$, which by symmetry as before implies that $d^{n+1} = (-1)^n$.

Corollary 7: *Suppose that $|a_k| \leq \epsilon$ for all k. Then the maximum separation 2ψ and minimum separation 2θ between consecutive pre-vertices satisfy*

$$(3.7) \qquad \frac{\pi}{1 + (1 + 2\epsilon)n} + O(\epsilon^2) \leq \theta \leq \psi \leq \frac{\pi}{1 + (1 - 2\epsilon)n} + O(\epsilon^2) , \quad \epsilon \to 0 .$$

Proof: For fixed $x \in [0, \pi/2]$, let $F(\delta) = \arctan \left((1 + \delta) \tan(x) \right)$. Then $F(0) = x$, $F'(0) = \sin(x)\cos(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sin(2x)$ and $F''(0) = -2\sin^3(x)\cos(x)$, hence

$$F(\delta) = x + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2x)\delta + O(\delta^2) , \quad \delta \to 0 .$$

Using that $(1 + r)/(1 - r) = 1 + 2r + O(r^2)$, $r \to 0$, and that $\sin(2x) \leq 2x$, we see from (3.1) that the minimum separation θ satisfies

$$\pi \leq \theta + 2n \left(\frac{\theta}{2} + \epsilon \sin(\theta) + O(\epsilon^2) \right) \leq (1 + (1 + 2\epsilon)n) \theta + O(\epsilon^2) .$$

This implies the lower bound in (3.7). A similar analysis applies to the maximum separation ψ, and the upper bound in (3.7) obtains.

Suppose now that f is a Schwarz-Christoffel mapping taking $\mathbb{D}$ onto the complement of a bounded convex $(n + 2)$-gon, with the normalization $f(0) = \infty$. In this situation, we know that

$$z \frac{f''}{f'}(z) = \frac{2}{z^2 B(z) - 1} ,$$

where $B(z)$ again is a Blaschke product of degree n. We write

$$B(z) = c \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z - a_k}{1 - \overline{a_k} z},$$

where $|c| = 1$ and all $|a_k| < 1$. The pre-vertices $z_1, \ldots, z_{n+2}$ are now given by the roots of the equation $z^2 B(z) = 1$, and after a rotation of f, we may assume that $c = 1$. The following result is obtained in a way similar to Theorem 6, and the proof will be omitted.

Theorem 8: *Suppose that $|a_k| \leq r < 1$ for all k. Then*

(i) The minimum separation in argument of consecutive pre-vertices is given by 2θ, where θ is the unique root in $(0, \pi/2)$ of the equation

$$\pi = 2\theta + 2n \arctan \left\{ \frac{1+r}{1-r} \tan \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

The result is sharp. The optimal configuration occurs when $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_n = rc$ for some root of the equation $c^{n+2} = -1$, and the lower bound is attained for the pre-vertices

$$e^{i\theta} c , \ e^{-i\theta} c.$$

The distance between any other pair of consecutive pre-vertices will be larger.

(ii) The maximum separation in argument of consecutive pre-vertices is given by 2ψ, where ψ is the unique root in $(0, \pi/2)$ of the equation

$$\pi = 2\psi + 2n \arctan \left\{ \frac{1-r}{1+r} \tan \left(\frac{\psi}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

The result is sharp. The optimal configuration occurs when $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_n = rd$ for some root of the equation $d^{n+2} = (-1)^{n+1}$, and the upper bound is attained for the pre-vertices

$$-e^{i\psi} d , \ -e^{-i\psi} d.$$

The distance between any other pair of consecutive pre-vertices will be larger.

A statement similar to Corollary 7 can be made in this case. If $|a_k| \leq \epsilon$ then the maximum and minimum separation between pre-vertices satisfy

$$(3.8) \qquad \frac{\pi}{2 + (1 + 2\epsilon)n} + O(\epsilon^2) \leq \theta \leq \psi \leq \frac{\pi}{2 + (1 - 2\epsilon)n} + O(\epsilon^2), \ \epsilon \to 0.$$

We finish this section with some remarks on the separation of pre-vertices for arbitrary polygonal mappings. Suppose f is a mapping of the form given by (1.1), where after rotation, we may assume expressions for B_1, B_2 given by

$$B_1(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{d_1} \frac{z - a_k}{1 - \overline{a_k} z} \quad , \quad B_2(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{d_2} \frac{z - b_k}{1 - \overline{b_k} z}.$$

Then

$$\varphi'(t) = 1 + |B_1'(e^{it})| - |B_2'(e^{it})| = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} \frac{1 - |a_k|^2}{|e^{it} - a_k|^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{d_2} \frac{1 - |b_k|^2}{|e^{it} - b_k|^2} .$$

Let $a = e^{i\alpha}, b = e^{i\beta}$ be consecutive *convex* pre-vertices, with separation $\beta - \alpha = 2\delta$, and let r be the radius of the smallest centered subdisk that contains the zeros of B_1, B_2. We deduce from (2.4) and the estimates (3.5), (3.6), that

(3.9)

$$\delta + 2d_1 \arctan(x/\lambda) - 2d_2 \arctan(\lambda x) \leq \pi \leq \delta + 2d_1 \arctan(\lambda x) - 2d_2 \arctan(x/\lambda) ,$$

where $\lambda = (1+r)/(1-r)$ and $x = \tan(\delta/2)$. Thus, for example, with given d_1, d_2, a relatively small separation 2δ can only occur if r is rather close to 1. Because the univalence of f is no longer guaranteed when B_1, B_2 are chosen arbitrarily, is seems of interest to determine under which circumstances the inequalities (3.9) remain sharp. We provide here a simple example where one can show sharpness in the right-hand side of (3.9) when $d_1 = d_2 = 1$.

Example: Consider the Blaschke products B_1, B_2 given by

$$B_1(z) = \frac{z + r}{1 + rz} \quad , \quad B_2(z) = \frac{z - r}{1 - rz} \ , \ r \in (0, 1) ,$$

and let f be defined, up to an affine change, by

$$\frac{f''}{f'} = \frac{2B_1/B_2}{1 - zB_1/B_2} = \frac{2(z + r)(1 - rz)}{(z - r)(1 + rz) - z(z + r)(1 - rz)} .$$

In analyzing the roots of $zB_1 = B_2$, we observe that $z_3 = 1$ is one immediate solution. The other solutions are the roots of

$$rz^2 + (r^2 + 2r - 1)z + r = 0 ,$$

which are given by

$$z_{1,2} = \frac{(1 - 2r - r^2) \pm \sqrt{-(1 - r^2)(r^2 + 4r - 1)}}{2r} .$$

For $r > r_0 = \sqrt{5} - 2 = 0,236\ldots$, the discriminant is negative and $|z_{1,2}| = 1$, with $z_1 = z_2(= -1)$ only for $r = 1$. In the partial fraction decomposition

$$\frac{f''}{f'} = -2 \left(\frac{\beta_1}{z - z_1} + \frac{\beta_2}{z - z_2} + \frac{\beta_3}{z - z_3} \right) ,$$

we must have $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ because of symmetry, while $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 1$ by equating coefficients with the above representation for f''/f'. Recall equation (2.3) that relates the exterior angles $2\pi\beta_k$ with the boundary function $\varphi(t)$. Here

$$\varphi'(t) = 1 + \frac{1 - r^2}{|1 + re^{it}|^2} - \frac{1 - r^2}{|1 - re^{it}|^2} ,$$

hence

$$\varphi'(0) = 1 + \frac{1-r}{1+r} - \frac{1+r}{1-r} \,.$$

One readily verifies that $\varphi'(0) \leq -2$ precisely when $r \geq r_1 = (1+\sqrt{13})/(5+\sqrt{13}) = 0,535\ldots$, in which case $\beta_3 \in (-\frac{1}{2},0)$ and $\beta_1 = \beta_2 \in (\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{4})$. Thus, for $r \geq r_1$, we deduce from Theorem 4 that f is *univalent*, and $z_{1,2}$ are convex pre-vertices while z_3 is a concave pre-vertex. The convex vertices $f(z_{1,2})$ are at infinity, and the image $f(\mathbb{D})$ corresponds to a half-plane minus a symmetric slit ending at the concave vertex when $r = r_1$, or a wedge when $r > r_1$.

Finally, to show sharpness in the right-hand side of (3.9), observe that for $r \geq r_1$ the conjugate points $z_1 = \bar{z}_2$ have negative real part, and thus their separation 2θ will correspond to the root $\theta \in (0,\pi/2)$ of the equation

$$\theta + 2\arctan(\lambda x) - 2\arctan(\lambda^{-1}x) = \pi \,.$$

For consecutive *concave* pre-vertices we deduce in similar fashion that

(3.10)

$$\delta + 2d_1 \arctan(x/\lambda) - 2d_2 \arctan(\lambda x) \ \leq \ -\pi \ \leq \ \delta + 2d_1 \arctan(\lambda x) - 2d_2 \arctan(x/\lambda) \,,$$

once again, forcing r to be very close to 1 if a small separation is to happen.

A similar analysis can be carried through to obtain information about the separation between consecutive convex or concave pre-vertices in the case of exterior mappings. The resulting inequalities are analogous to (3.9) and (3.10), with the single term δ replaced by 2δ. The proof will be omitted.

4. LOCATION OF ZEROS

In this section we study the location of the zeros of the Blaschke products appearing in the representation formulas (1.1) and (1.4) of Schwarz-Christoffel mappings. Convex or concave mappings impose no restriction on the location of the zeros, since in the absence of the Blaschke product B_2, (1.1) and (1.4) will always render univalent mappings. It is probably an ambitious task to determine conditions on B_1 and B_2 that are both necessary and sufficient for all mappings of the form (1.1) and (1.4) to be univalent. Nevertheless, some necessary conditions can be established. We deal first with the case of mappings arising from (1.1). Because $1 + \mathrm{Re}\{zf''/f'\}$ will be positive or negative according to whether $|zB_1| < |B_2|$ or $|zB_1| > |B_2|$, it follows readily from the radius of convexity for the class $\mathcal{S}$, that we must have

$$|zB_1(z)| < |B_2(z)| \ , \ \ |z| \leq 2 - \sqrt{3} \,.$$

In particular, all zeros of B_2 must lie in the region $|z| > 2 - \sqrt{3}$.

Theorem 9: *Let f be given by (1.1), with d_1, d_2 the degrees of the Blaschke products B_1, B_2, respectively, and suppose that $d_2 \geq 1$. Suppose that all the zeros of B_1, B_2 are contained in the subdisk $|z| \leq r < 1$. Then*

$$(4.1) \qquad r \geq \max \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{4d_1 d_2 + 9} + 3 - 2d_2}{\sqrt{4d_1 d_2 + 9} + 3 + 2d_2}, \frac{2d_2 - 1 - \sqrt{1 + 4d_1 d_2}}{2d_2 + 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4d_1 d_2}} \right\} .$$

In particular, if $d_2 = 1$ then

$$(4.2) \qquad r \geq \frac{\sqrt{4n + 5} + 1}{\sqrt{4n + 5} + 5} \geq \frac{1}{2} .$$

The estimate (4.2) is sharp for the Koebe function.

Proof: Recall the boundary function $\varphi(t)$ in (2.2). At a concave pre-vertex e^{it_0}, the exterior angle $2\pi\beta_0 \in [-\pi, 0)$, and hence $\beta_0 \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$. It follows from (2.3) that

$$\varphi'(t_0) \leq -2 .$$

If we write

$$B_1(z) = c_1 \prod_{k=1}^{d_1} \frac{z - a_k}{1 - \overline{a_k} z} \quad , \quad B_2(z) = c_2 \prod_{k=1}^{d_2} \frac{z - b_k}{1 - \overline{b_k} z} ,$$

then

$$\varphi'(t) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} \frac{1 - |a_k|^2}{|e^{it} - a_k|^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{d_2} \frac{1 - |b_k|^2}{|e^{it} - b_k|^2} .$$

After evaluating at $t = t_0$, a simple estimate gives

$$1 + d_1 \frac{1 - r}{1 + r} - d_2 \frac{1 + r}{1 - r} \leq -2 .$$

With $s = (1 + r)/(1 - r)$ we obtain

$$d_2 s^2 - 3s - d_1 \geq 0 ,$$

which implies (4.1). If $d_2 = 1$ then $d_1 = n - 1$, which proves the first estimate in (4.2).

In order to obtain the second estimate, we observe that at a convex pre-vertex e^{it_1}, the exterior angle $2\pi\beta_1 > 0$, and therefore $\varphi'(t_1) > 0$. This now gives

$$1 + d_1 \frac{1 + r}{1 - r} - d_2 \frac{1 - r}{1 + r} > 0 ,$$

and the second estimate follows.

To show sharpness, we consider the Koebe function $k(z) = z/(1 - z)^2$. Then $k'(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z)^3$ and thus

$$\frac{k''}{k'}(z) = \frac{1}{z + 1} - \frac{3}{z - 1} ,$$

which is consistent with a polygonal mapping onto a 2-gon with a concave vertex with exterior angle $-\pi$ at $k(-1) = -\frac{1}{4}$, and a convex vertex with exterior angle 3π at $k(1) = \infty$. A calculation gives

$$\frac{k''}{k'}(z) = \frac{1/B_2(z)}{1 - z/B_2(z)} \,,$$

with $B_2(z) = (z + \frac{1}{2})/(1 + \frac{1}{2}z)$. Then $r = \frac{1}{2}$, which coincides with the lower bound in (4.2) with $n = 1$.

Remark: The first estimate in (4.1) is the better one when $d_1 >> d_2$, while the second will provide better information for $d_2 >> d_1$.

The final theorem describes the analogous situation for mappings of the form (1.4) onto the complement of polygons. The corresponding boundary function is now given by

$$\varphi(t) = \arg\left\{ e^{2it}\frac{B_1}{B_2}(e^{it})\right\} \,,$$

for which

$$\varphi'(t) = 2 + |B_1'(e^{it})| - |B_2'(e^{it})| \,.$$

Since the proof is based on an almost identical analysis, it will be omitted.

Theorem 10: *Let f be given by (1.4), with d_1, d_2 the degrees of the Blaschke products B_1, B_2, respectively, and suppose that $d_2 \geq 1$. Suppose that all the zeros of B_1, B_2 are contained in the subdisk $|z| \leq r < 1$. Then*

$$(4.3) \qquad r \geq \max\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{d_1 d_2 + 4} + 2 - d_2}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2 + 4} + 2 + d_2}, \frac{d_2 - 1 - \sqrt{1 + d_1 d_2}}{d_2 + 1 + \sqrt{1 + d_1 d_2}}\right\} \,.$$

References

[1] F.G. Avkhadiev and K.J. Wirths, *Convex holes produce lower bounds for coefficients*, Compl. Var. 47 (2002), 553-563.

[2] F.G. Avkhadiev and K.J. Wirths, *Concave schlicht functions with bounded opening angle at infinity*, Lobachevskii J. Math. 17 (2005), 3-10.

[3] F.G. Avkhadiev and K.J. Wirths, *A proof of the Livingston conjecture*, Forum Math. 19 (2007), 149-157.

[4] B. Bhowmik, S. Ponnusamy and K.J. Wirths, *Concave functions, Blaschke products, and polynomial mappings*, Siberian Math. J. 50 (2009), 609-615.

[5] M. Chuaqui, P. Duren and B. Osgood, *Schwarzian derivatives of convex mappings*, Ann. Acad. Scie. Fenn. Math. 36 (2011), 1-12.

[6] M. Chuaqui, P. Duren and B. Osgood, *Concave conformal mappings and pre-vertices of Schwarz-Christoffel mappings*, Proc. AMS 140 (2012), 3495-3505.

[7] P. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, CHILE.
  E-mail address: mchuaqui@mat.puc.cl

Institut für Mathematik MA 8-1, Technische Universität, D-10623 Berlin, GERMANY.
  E-mail address: pommeren@math.tu-berlin.de